

Propositions as types & Identity types

Homotopy Type Theory Seminar, Summer '17

Lukas Braun

FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg

May 24, 2017

Propositions as types

- ▶ Propositions can be expressed as types.
- ▶ Inhabitants of a type are *evidence* or *witnesses* of its truth.
- ▶ A proposition is the collection of possible witnesses of its truth.

Propositions as types

English	Type Theory
True	1
False	0
A and B	$A \times B$
A or B	$A + B$
If A then B	$A \rightarrow B$
A if and only if B	$(A \rightarrow B) \times (B \rightarrow A)$
Not A	$A \rightarrow 0$

Constructive logic

- ▶ **No** elimination of double negation:

$$\neg\neg A \rightarrow A \equiv ((A \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow A$$

- ▶ **No** excluded middle:

$$A + \neg A$$

Predicates

English	Type Theory
For all $x : A$, $P(x)$ holds	$\prod_{(x:A)} P(x)$
There exists $x : A$ such that $P(x)$	$\sum_{(x:A)} P(x)$

Predicates

English	Type Theory
For all $x : A$, $P(x)$ holds	$\prod_{(x:A)} P(x)$
There exists $x : A$ such that $P(x)$	$\sum_{(x:A)} P(x)$

Example: *If for all $x : A$, $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ then (for all $x : A$, $P(x)$) and (for all $x : A$, $Q(x)$) as a type:*

$$(\prod_{(x:A)} P(x) \times Q(x)) \rightarrow (\prod_{(x:A)} P(x)) \times (\prod_{(x:A)} Q(x)).$$

Σ -Types as subtypes

- ▶ Read $\sum_{(x:A)} P(x)$ as “the type of all elements $x : A$ such that $P(x)$ ”.

Σ -Types as subtypes

- ▶ Read $\sum_{(x:A)} P(x)$ as “the type of all elements $x : A$ such that $P(x)$ ”.
- ▶ Example:

$$\text{Semigroup} := \sum_{(A:\mathcal{U})} \sum_{(m:A \rightarrow A \rightarrow A)} \prod_{(x,y,z:A)} m(x, m(y, z)) = m(m(x, y), z)$$

Higher order logic

- ▶ Propositions over predicates

$$\prod_{P:A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} P(a) \rightarrow P(b)$$

Higher order logic

- ▶ Propositions over predicates

$$\prod_{P:A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} P(a) \rightarrow P(b)$$

- ▶ Universes:

$$\left(\prod_{P:A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_i} P(a) \rightarrow P(b) \right) : \mathcal{U}_{i+1}.$$

Identity types

- ▶ We write $\text{Id}_A(a, b)$ or $a =_A b$ for the *type* representing the proposition of equality between $a, b : A$.
- ▶ Note this is different from judgemental equality $a \equiv b$.

Identity types

- ▶ We write $\text{Id}_A(a, b)$ or $a =_A b$ for the *type* representing the proposition of equality between $a, b : A$.
- ▶ Note this is different from judgemental equality $a \equiv b$.
- ▶ Formally:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U}_i \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash b : A}{\Gamma \vdash a =_A b : \mathcal{U}_i} \text{=-form}$$

Identity types

- ▶ We write $\text{Id}_A(a, b)$ or $a =_A b$ for the *type* representing the proposition of equality between $a, b : A$.
- ▶ Note this is different from judgemental equality $a \equiv b$.
- ▶ Formally:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U}_i \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash b : A}{\Gamma \vdash a =_A b : \mathcal{U}_i} \text{=-form}$$

- ▶ In the homotopical interpretation, inhabitants of $a =_A b$ are paths between a and b in the space A .

Introducing identities

- ▶ Elements of $a = b$ are introduced by knowing that a and b are the same, aka reflexivity:

$$\text{refl} : \prod_{a:A} (a =_A a)$$

Introducing identities

- ▶ Elements of $a = b$ are introduced by knowing that a and b are the same, aka reflexivity:

$$\text{refl} : \prod_{a:A} (a =_A a)$$

- ▶ Formally:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U}_i \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{refl}_a : a =_A a} =\text{-intro}$$

Indiscernability of identicals

For every family

$$C : A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

there is a function

$$f : \prod_{(x,y:A)} \prod_{(p:x=Ay)} C(x) \rightarrow C(y)$$

such that

$$f(x, x, \text{refl}_x) \equiv \text{id}_{C(x)}.$$

Path induction

Given a family

$$C : \prod_{x,y:A} (x =_A y) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

and a function

$$c : \prod_{x:A} C(x, x, \text{refl}_x),$$

there is a function

$$f : \prod_{(x,y:A)} \prod_{(p:x=_A y)} C(x, y, p)$$

such that

$$f(x, x, \text{refl}_x) \equiv c(x).$$

Path induction formally

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma, x:A, y:A, p:x =_A y \vdash C : \mathcal{U}_i \\ \Gamma, z:A \vdash c : C[z, z, \text{refl}_z/x, y, p] \\ \Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash b : A \quad \Gamma \vdash p' : a =_A b \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ind}_{=_A}(x.y.p.C, z.c, a, b, p') : C[a, b, p'/x, y, p]} =\text{-elim}$$

Path induction as a function

$$\text{ind}_{=A} : \prod_{(C:\prod_{(x,y:A)}(x=Ay)\rightarrow\mathcal{U})} \left(\prod_{(x:A)} C(x, x, \text{refl}_x) \right) \rightarrow \prod_{(x,y:A)} \prod_{(p:x=Ay)} C(x, y, p)$$

with

$$\text{ind}_{=A}(C, c, x, x, \text{refl}_x) :\equiv c(x).$$

Based path induction

Fix an element $a : A$, and suppose given a family

$$C : \prod_{x:A} (a =_A x) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

and an element

$$c : C(a, \text{refl}_a).$$

Then we obtain a function

$$f : \prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(p:a=x)} C(x, p)$$

such that

$$f(a, \text{refl}_a) :\equiv c.$$

Interpretation

- ▶ Path induction can be said to assert that reflexivity is the only path.
- ▶ But the homotopy interpretation says there are many different paths between elements x and y !

Interpretation

- ▶ Path induction can be said to assert that reflexivity is the only path.
- ▶ But the homotopy interpretation says there are many different paths between elements x and y !
- ▶ Solution: It is the *family* of types $(x =_A y)$, for varying elements $x, y : A$, that is inductively defined by elements of the form refl_x .
- ▶ Meaning, “the space of paths starting at some point is contractible to the constant loop at that point”.