

On Algebras with Effectful Iteration

Stefan Milius^{2,*}, Jiří Adámek¹, and Henning Urbat²

¹ Czech Technical University in Prague

² Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

Abstract For every finitary monad T on sets and every endofunctor F on the category of T -algebras we introduce the concept of an ffg-Elgot algebra for F , that is, an algebra admitting coherent solutions for finite systems of recursive equations with effects represented by the monad T . The goal of this paper is to study the existence and construction of free ffg-Elgot algebras. To this end, we investigate the locally ffg fixed point φF , the colimit of all F -coalgebras with free finitely generated carrier, which is shown to be the initial ffg-Elgot algebra. This is the technical foundation for our main result: the category of ffg-Elgot algebras is monadic over the category of T -algebras.

1 Introduction

Terminal coalgebras yield a fully abstract domain of behavior for a given type of state-based systems whose transition type is described by an endofunctor F . Often one is mainly interested in the study of the semantics of *finite* coalgebras; for instance, regular languages are the behaviors of finite deterministic automata, while the terminal coalgebra of the corresponding functor is formed by *all* formal languages. For endofunctors on sets, the *rational fixed point* introduced by Adámek, Milius and Velebil [2] yields a fully abstract domain of behavior for finite coalgebras. However, in recent years there has been a lot of interest in studying coalgebras over more general categories than sets. In particular, categories of algebras for a (finitary) monad T on sets are a paradigmatic setting; they are used, for instance, in the generalized determinization framework of Silva et al. [35] and yield *coalgebraic language equivalence* [10] as a semantic equivalence of systems with a side effect provided by the monad T . In the category \mathcal{C} of T -algebras, several notions of 'finite' object are natural to consider, and each of those yields an ensuing notion of 'finite' coalgebra: free objects on finitely many generators (*ffg* objects) yield precisely the coalgebras that are the target of generalized determinization; finitely presentable (*fp*) objects are the ones that can be presented by finitely many generators and relations and yield the rational fixed point; and finitely generated (*fg*) objects, i.e. those presented by finitely many generators (but possibly infinitely many relations). Taking the colimits of all coalgebras with ffg, fp, and fg carriers, respectively, yields three coalgebras φF , ϱF and ϑF which, under suitable assumptions on F , are all fixed

* Supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under project MI 717/5-1

points of F [2, 27, 39]. Our present paper is devoted to studying the fixed point φF , which we call the *locally ffg fixed point* of F . For a finitary endofunctor F preserving surjective and non-empty injective morphisms in \mathcal{C} , the three fixed points are related (to the terminal coalgebra νF) as shown in the picture below:

$$\varphi F \twoheadrightarrow \varrho F \twoheadrightarrow \vartheta F \twoheadrightarrow \nu F, \quad (1.1)$$

where \twoheadrightarrow denotes a quotient coalgebra and \twoheadrightarrow a subcoalgebra. The three right-hand fixed points are characterized by a universal property both as a coalgebra and (inverting their coalgebra structure) as an algebra [2, 22, 27]; see [39] for one uniform proof. We recall this in more detail in Section 2.4.

The main contribution of this paper is a new characterization of the locally ffg fixed point φF by a universal property as an algebra. As already observed by Urbat [39], as a coalgebra, φF does not satisfy the expected finality property; in fact, uniqueness of coalgebra homomorphisms from coalgebras with ffg carrier into φF may fail. A simple initiality property of φF as an algebra was recently established by Milius [24]. Here we go a step further and introduce the notion of an *ffg-Elgot algebra* (Section 4), which is an algebra for F equipped with an operation that allows to take solutions of *effectful iterative equations* (see Remark 4.5) subject to two natural axioms. These axioms are inspired by and closely related to the axioms of (ordinary) Elgot algebras [1], which we recall in Section 3. We then prove that φF is the initial ffg-Elgot algebra (Theorem 4.11).

In addition, we study the construction of *free* ffg-Elgot algebras. In the case of ordinary Elgot algebras, it was shown in [1] that the parametrized rational fixed point $\varrho(F(-) + Y)$ is a free Elgot algebra on Y . In addition, the category of Elgot algebras is the Eilenberg-Moore category for the corresponding monad on \mathcal{C} . In the present paper, we first prove that free ffg-Elgot algebras exist on every object Y of \mathcal{C} . But is it true that the free ffg-Elgot algebra on Y is $\varphi(F(-) + Y)$? We do not know the answer for arbitrary objects Y , but if Y is a free T -algebra (on a possibly infinite set of generators), the answer is affirmative (Theorem 4.15).

Finally, we prove that the category of ffg-Elgot algebras is monadic over \mathcal{C} , i.e. ffg-Elgot algebras are precisely the Eilenberg-Moore algebras for the monad that assigns to a given object Y of \mathcal{C} its free ffg-Elgot algebra (Theorem 4.16).

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Varieties and 'Finite' Algebras

Throughout the paper we will work with a (finitary, many-sorted) variety \mathcal{C} of algebras. Equivalently, \mathcal{C} is the category of Eilenberg-Moore algebras for a finitary monad T on the category \mathbf{Set}^S of S -sorted sets [6]. We will speak about objects of \mathcal{C} (rather than algebras for T) and reserve the word 'algebra' for algebras for an endofunctor on \mathcal{C} . All the usual categories of algebraic structures and their homomorphisms are varieties: monoids, (semi-)groups, rings, vector spaces over a fixed field, modules for a (semi-)ring, positive convex algebras, join-semilattices, Boolean algebras, distributive lattices, and many others. In each case,

the corresponding monad T assigns to a set the free object on it, e.g. $TX = X^*$ for monoids, the finite power-set monad $T = \mathcal{P}_f$ for join-semilattices, and the subdistribution monad \mathcal{D} for positive convex algebras, etc.

As mentioned in the introduction, every variety \mathcal{C} of algebras comes with three natural notions of ‘finite’ objects, each of which admits a neat category-theoretic characterization (see [6]):

Finitely presentable objects (fp objects, for short) can be presented by finitely many generators and relations. An object X is fp iff the covariant hom-functor $\mathcal{C}(X, -): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is *finitary*, i.e. it preserves filtered colimits.³ We denote by \mathcal{C}_{fp} the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} given by all fp objects. In our proofs we will use the well-known fact that every object X is the filtered colimit of the canonical diagram $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fp}}/X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, i.e. objects in the diagram scheme are morphisms $P \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} with P fp.

Finitely generated objects (fg objects, for short) are presented by finitely many generators but, possibly, infinitely many relations. An object X is fg iff $\mathcal{C}(X, -)$ preserves filtered colimits with monic connecting morphisms. Hence, every fp object is fg but not conversely. In fact, the fg objects are precisely the (regular) quotients of the fp objects [6, Proposition 5.22].

Free finitely generated objects (ffg objects, for short) are the objects (TX_0, μ_{X_0}) where X_0 is a finite S -sorted set (i.e. the coproduct of all components X_s , $s \in S$ is finite). An object X is a split quotient of an ffg object iff $\mathcal{C}(X, -)$ preserves *sifted* colimits [6, Corollary 5.14]. Recall from [6] that sifted colimits are more general than filtered colimits: a sifted colimit is a colimit of a diagram $D: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ whose diagram scheme \mathcal{D} is a sifted category, which means that finite products commute with colimits over \mathcal{D} in \mathbf{Set} . More precisely, \mathcal{D} is sifted iff given any diagram $D: \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, where \mathcal{J} is a finite discrete category, the canonical map

$$\text{colim}_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \left(\prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} D(d, j) \right) \rightarrow \prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \left(\text{colim}_{d \in \mathcal{D}} D(d, j) \right)$$

is an isomorphism. For instance, every filtered category and every category with finite coproducts is sifted, see [6, Example 2.16].

The category \mathcal{C} is cocomplete and the forgetful functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^S$ preserves and reflects sifted colimits, that is, sifted colimits in \mathcal{C} are formed on the level of underlying sets [6, Proposition 2.5].

A finitely cocomplete category has sifted colimits if and only if it has filtered colimits and reflexive coequalizers, and, moreover a functor preserves sifted colimits if and only if it preserves filtered colimits and reflexive coequalizers [5].

We denote by \mathcal{C}_{ffg} the full subcategory of ffg objects of \mathcal{C} . Analogously to the corresponding result for fp objects, every object X is a sifted colimit of the canonical diagram $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ffg}}/X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$; this follows from [6, Proposition 5.17].

³ These are colimits of diagrams $D: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ where \mathcal{D} is *filtered*, i.e. every finite subdiagram has a cocone in \mathcal{D} .

2.2 Relation between the object classes.

We already mentioned that every fp object is fg (but not conversely, in general). Clearly, every ffg object is fg, but not conversely in general (e.g. consider any fg monoid which is not of the form X^* for some finite set X). So, in general, we have full embeddings

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{ffg}} \xrightarrow{\neq} \mathcal{C}_{\text{fp}} \xrightarrow{\neq} \mathcal{C}_{\text{fg}}.$$

In rare cases, all three object classes coincide; e.g. in **Set** (considered as a variety) and the category of vector spaces over a field.

In addition to those examples, the equation $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fg}} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{fp}}$ holds true, for example, for all locally finite varieties (i.e. where ffg objects are carried by finite sets), for positive convex algebras [36], commutative monoids [17, 32], abelian groups, and more generally, in any category of (semi-)modules for a semiring \mathbb{S} that is *Noetherian* in the sense of Ésik and Maletti [14], i.e. every subsemimodule of an fg semimodule is fg itself. For example, the following semirings are Noetherian: every finite semiring, every field, every principal ideal domain such as the ring of integers and therefore every finitely generated commutative ring by Hilbert's Basis Theorem. The tropical semiring $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \min, +, \infty, 0)$ is not Noetherian [13]. The usual semiring of natural numbers is also not Noetherian, but for the category of \mathbb{N} -semimodules (= commutative monoids), $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fp}} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{fg}}$ still holds.

2.3 Functors and Liftings

We will consider coalgebras for functors F on the variety \mathcal{C} . In many cases F is a *lifting* of a set functor, i.e. we have functor $F_0: \mathbf{Set}^S \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^S$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{C} \\ U \downarrow & & \downarrow U \\ \mathbf{Set} & \xrightarrow{F_0} & \mathbf{Set} \end{array}$$

where $U: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^S$ is the forgetful functor. It is well-known [7, 19] that liftings of a given functor F_0 on \mathbf{Set}^S to \mathcal{C} , the variety given by the monad T , are in bijective correspondence with distributive laws of the monad T over the functor F_0 , i.e. natural transformations $\lambda: TF_0 \rightarrow F_0T$ such that the following two coherence axioms w.r.t. the monad structure of T hold (here $U: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^S$ is the forgetful functor):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_0 & & TTF_0 \xrightarrow{T\lambda} TF_0T \xrightarrow{\lambda T} F_0TT \\ \eta F_0 \downarrow & \searrow F_0\eta & \downarrow \mu F_0 \\ TF_0 & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & F_0T \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} TTF_0 & \xrightarrow{T\lambda} & TF_0T \xrightarrow{\lambda T} & F_0TT \\ \mu F_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow F\mu & \\ TF_0 & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & F_0T & \end{array}$$

Given a distributive law λ of T over F , the corresponding lifting F assigns to a T -algebra (A, a) the T -algebra $(FA, Fa \cdot \lambda_A)$. It was observed by Turi and

Plotkin [31] that a final coalgebra for F_0 lifts to a final coalgebra for the lifting F . Indeed, denoting by $\xi: \nu F \rightarrow F(\nu F)$ the final coalgebra for F we obtain a canonical T -algebra structure by corecursion, i.e. as the unique coalgebra homomorphism $a: T(\nu F) \rightarrow \nu F$ in the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(\nu F) & \xrightarrow{T\xi} & TF(\nu F) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{\nu F}} FT(\nu F) \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow Fa \\ \nu F & \xrightarrow{\xi} & F(\nu F) \end{array}$$

It is easy to verify that a is an Eilenberg-Moore algebra and that this turns νF into the final coalgebra for the lifting \bar{F} . Note that the above square expresses that $(\nu F, a, \xi)$ is a λ -bialgebra, and it is the final one [31].

Coalgebras for lifted functors are significant for us because the targets of *finite* coalgebras X under *generalized determinization* [35] are precisely those coalgebras for the lifting F carried by ffg objects TX . In more detail, generalized determinization is the process of turning a given coalgebra $c: X \rightarrow F_0TX$ in \mathbf{Set}^S into a coalgebra for the lifting F : one uses the freeness of TX and the fact that FTX is a T -algebra to extend c to a T -algebra homomorphism $c^*: TX \rightarrow FTX$. The *coalgebraic language semantics* [10] of (X, c) is then the final semantics of c^* in \mathcal{C} . The classical instance of this is the language semantics of non-deterministic automata considered as coalgebras $X \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \times (\mathcal{P}_f X)^S$; here the generalized determinization with $T = \mathcal{P}_f$ and $F = \{0, 1\} \times X^S$ on \mathbf{Set} is the well-known subset construction from automata theory.

2.4 Four Fixed Points

Let us now consider a finitary endofunctor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ on our variety. Then we know that F has a terminal coalgebra [4], which we denote by νF . Its coalgebra structure $\nu F \rightarrow F(\nu F)$ is an isomorphism by Lambek's lemma [21], and so νF is a fixed point of F .

There are three more fixed points of F obtained from ‘finite’ coalgebras, where ‘finite’ can mean each of the three notions discussed in the previous subsection. More precisely, we consider the full subcategories of the category $\mathbf{Coalg} F$ given by those coalgebras with fp, fg, and ffg carriers, respectively and denote them as shown below:

$$\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{fg}} F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{fp}} F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Coalg} F.$$

Since all three categories $\mathbf{Coalg}_x F$ (for $x = \text{fp}, \text{fg}$ or ffg) are essentially small, we can form coalgebras as the colimits of the above inclusions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi F &= \text{colim}(\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Coalg} F), \\ \vartheta F &= \text{colim}(\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{fg}} F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Coalg} F), \\ \varrho F &= \text{colim}(\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{fp}} F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Coalg} F). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the latter two colimits are filtered; in fact, $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{fg}} F$ and $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{fp}} F$ are clearly closed under finite colimits in $\mathbf{Coalg} F$, whence they are filtered categories. The first colimit is a sifted colimit since its diagram scheme $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F$ is closed under finite coproducts [25, Lemma 3.7]. In what follows, the objects of $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F$ are called *ffg-coalgebras*.

We now discuss the three above coalgebras in more detail.

The rational fixed point is the coalgebra ϱF ; that this is a fixed point was proved by Adámek, Milius and Velebil [2]. In addition, ϱF is characterized by a universal property both as a coalgebra and as an algebra: (a) as a coalgebra, ϱF is the terminal *locally finitely presentable* (lfp) coalgebra, where a coalgebra is called lfp if it is a filtered colimit of a diagram formed by coalgebras from $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{fp}} F$ [23]; and (b) as an algebra, ϱF is the initial iterative algebra for F . An *iterative algebra* is an F -algebra $a: FA \rightarrow A$ such that every *fp-equation*, i.e. a morphism $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$ with X fp, has a unique *solution* in A . The latter means that there exists a unique morphism e^\dagger such that the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e^\dagger} & A \\ e \downarrow & & \uparrow [a, A] \\ FX + A & \xrightarrow{Fe^\dagger + A} & FA + A \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

(Note that in a diagram we usually denote identity morphisms simply by the (co)domain object.) This notion is a categorical generalization of iterative Σ -algebras for a single-sorted signature Σ originally introduced by Nelson [30]; see also Tiuryn [38] for a closely related concept.

The locally finite fixed point is the coalgebra ϑF ; this coalgebra was recently introduced and studied by Milius, Pattinson and Wißmann [27] for a finitary and mono-preserving functor F . It was proved to be a fixed point of F and characterized by two universal properties analogous to the rational fixed point: (a) as a coalgebra, ϑF is the terminal *locally finitely generated* (lfg) coalgebra, where a coalgebra is called lfg if it is a colimit of a directed diagram of coalgebras in $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{fg}} F$; and (b) as an algebra, ϑF is the initial fg-iterative algebra for F , where fg-iterative is simply the variation of iterative where the domain object of $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$ is required to be fg in lieu of fp. Moreover, ϑF always is a subcoalgebra of νF [27, Theorem 3.10] and thus fully abstract w.r.t. behavioral equivalence.

The locally ffg fixed point is the coalgebra φF . Recently, Urbat [39] has proved that φF is indeed a fixed point of F , provided that F preserves sifted colimits. Actually, he defined φF as the colimit of all F -coalgebras whose carrier is a split quotient of an ffg object. However, this is the same colimit as the one we use above (as we prove in the appendix). Moreover, loc. cit. provides a general framework that allows to prove that all four coalgebras ϱF , φF , ϑF and νF

are fixed points by one uniform proof. Also, a uniform proof of the universal properties of ϱF , ϑF and νF is given.

Somewhat surprisingly, the coalgebra φF fails to have the finality property w.r.t. to coalgebras in $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\mathbf{ffg}} F$: Urbat [39, Example 4.12] gives an example of a coalgebra for the identity functor on the category \mathcal{C} of algebras with one unary operation (and no equations) that admits two coalgebra homomorphisms into φF ; see Example 2.2 below. This also shows that φF cannot have a universal property as some kind of iterative algebra (i.e. where solutions are unique).

Relations between the Fixed Points. Recall that a *quotient* of a coalgebra is represented by a coalgebra homomorphism carried by a regular epimorphism (= surjective algebra morphism) in \mathcal{C} . Suppose we have a finitary functor F on \mathcal{C} preserving surjective and non-empty injective morphisms.⁴ Then the subcoalgebra ϑF of νF is a quotient of ϱF , which in turn is a quotient of φF [25, 26]; see (1.1). Whenever, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{fp}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{fg}}$, we clearly have $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\mathbf{fp}} F = \mathbf{Coalg}_{\mathbf{fg}} F$ and hence $\varrho F \cong \vartheta F$ (i.e. ϱF is fully abstract w.r.t. behavioral equivalence), and if $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{fp}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{fg}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{ffg}}$ then those two coincide with φF as well. Moreover, Milius [25] introduced the notion of a *proper* functor (generalizing the notion of a proper semiring of Ésik and Maletti [13]) and proved that a functor F is proper if and only if the three fixed points coincide, i.e. the picture (1.1) collapses to $\varphi F \cong \varrho F \cong \vartheta F \hookrightarrow \nu F$. Loc. cit. also shows that on a variety \mathcal{C} where fg objects are closed under taking kernel pairs, every endofunctor mapping kernel pairs to weak pullbacks in \mathbf{Set} is proper [25, Proposition 3.18].⁵

Instances of the three fixed points have mostly been considered for proper functors (where the three are the same, e.g. for functors on \mathbf{Set}), or else on algebraic categories where $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{fp}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{fg}}$ (where the rational and locally finite fixed points coincide).

- (1) for $F = \{0, 1\} \times (-)^\Sigma$, where Σ is an input alphabet, on \mathbf{Set} , the final coalgebra is formed by all formal languages on Σ and the three fixed points are formed by regular languages,
- (2) for a signature $\Sigma = (\Sigma_n)_{n < \omega}$ of operation symbols with prescribed arity we have the associated polynomial endofunctor on \mathbf{Set} given by $F_\Sigma X = \coprod_{n < \omega} \Sigma_n \times X^n$. Its final coalgebra is carried by the set of all (finite and infinite) Σ -trees, i.e. rooted and ordered trees where each node with n -children is labelled by an n -ary operation symbol. The three fixed points are the subcoalgebra given by rational (or regular [11]) Σ -trees, i.e. those Σ -trees that have only finitely many different subtrees (up to isomorphism) – this characterization is due to Ginali [18]. For example, for the signature Σ with

⁴ These are mild assumptions; e.g. if \mathcal{C} is single-sorted and F a lifting of a set functor, then the conditions are fulfilled.

⁵ Note that these conditions are fulfilled in particular by every locally finite variety and every category of semirings for a Noetherian semiring and any lifted endofunctor whose underlying \mathbf{Set} functor preserves weak pullbacks.

a binary operation symbol $*$ and a constant c the following infinite Σ -tree (here written as an infinite term) is rational:

$$c * (c * (c * \dots));$$

in fact, its only subtrees are the whole tree and the single node tree labelled by c .

- (3) for $FX = \mathbb{S} \times X^\Sigma$ on the category of semimodules for the semiring \mathbb{S} , ϑF ($\cong \varrho F$ whenever \mathbb{S} is Noethrian) is formed by all formal power-series (i.e. elements of \mathbb{S}^{Σ^*}) which are recognizable by finite \mathbb{S} -weighted automata. From the Kleene-Schützenberger theorem [34] (see also [9]) it follows that these are, equivalently, the *rational* formal power-series.
- (4) for $FX = k \times X$ on \mathbf{Set} the final coalgebra is carried by the set k^ω of all streams on k , and the three fixed points are formed by all eventually periodic streams (also called lassos). If k is a field, and we consider F as a functor on vector spaces over k we obtain rational streams [33].
- (5) the functor $FX = [0, 1] \times X^\Sigma$ on the category of positive convex algebras is proper as recently proved by Sokolova and Woracek [37] (while \mathcal{C}_{ffg} and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fp}} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{fg}}$ do not coincide); its final coalgebra is carried by $[0, 1]^{\Sigma^*}$ and the three fixed points coincide and collect the behavior of finite probabilistic automata.
- (6) for the functor $FX = \{0, 1\} \times X^\Sigma$ on the category of idempotent semirings the locally finite fixed point ϑF is formed by all context-free languages. A description of ϱF and φF are unknown in this case.

More generally, consider first the category of associative \mathbb{S} -algebras for the commutative semiring \mathbb{S} , i.e. \mathbb{S} -semimodules equipped with an additional monoid structure such that multiplication is an \mathbb{S} -semimodule morphism in each of its arguments. This is the Eilenberg-Moore category for the monad $\mathbb{S}\langle - \rangle$ assigning to each set X the set of \mathbb{S} -polynomials of over X , i.e. finite support functions $X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$. This is not quite the category \mathcal{C} , but one considers Σ -pointed \mathbb{S} -algebras, where Σ is an input alphabet, i.e. \mathbb{S} -algebras A equipped with a map $\Sigma \rightarrow A$. The corresponding monad is $\mathbb{S}\langle - + \Sigma \rangle$. The final coalgebra for the functor $FX = \mathbb{S} \times X^\Sigma$ on \mathcal{C} is again carried by the set of all formal power series over Σ , and the locally finite fixed point ϑF is formed by all constructively \mathbb{S} -algebraic formal power-series [27]. (The original definition of those power-series goes back to Fliess [16], see also [12]; an equivalent coalgebraic characterization was first provided by Winter et al. [40].)

- (7) deterministic context-free languages in algebras for the stack monad

Remark 2.1. The rational and locally finite fixed points are defined and studied more generally than in the present setting, namely for finitary functors F on a locally finitely presentable category \mathcal{C} (see Adámek and Rosický [4] for an introduction to locally presentable categories). The following are instances of ϱF and ϑF for F on a locally finitely presentable category \mathcal{C} :

- (1) Consider the category $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{F}}$ of presheaves, where \mathcal{F} is the category of finite sets and all maps, consider the endofunctor $FX = V + X \times X + \delta(X)$ with

$\delta(X)(n) = X(n + 1)$ and $V: \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ the embedding. This is a paradigmatic example of a functor arising from a *binding signature* for which initial semantics was studied by Fiore et al. [15].

The final coalgebra νF is carried by the presheaf of all λ -trees modulo α -equivalence: $\nu F(n)$ is the set of (finite and infinite) λ -trees in n free variables (note that such a tree may have infinitely many bound variables). And ϱF is carried by the rational λ -trees, where an α -equivalence class is called *rational* if it contains at least one λ -tree which has (up to isomorphism) only finitely many different subtrees (see [3]). Rational λ -trees also appear as the rational fixed point of a very similar functor on the category of nominal sets [29]. Similarly, for any functor on nominal sets arising from a binding signature [28].

- (2) Courcelle’s algebraic trees [11] occur as a locally finite fixed point. In more details, fix a polynomial functor $H_\Sigma: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ and consider the category $\mathcal{C} = H_\Sigma/\mathbf{Mnd}_f(\mathbf{Set})$ of H_Σ -pointed finitary set monads, i.e. finitary monads M on \mathbf{Set} equipped with a natural transformation $H_\Sigma \rightarrow M$. The assignment $M \mapsto H_\Sigma M + \mathbf{Id}$ provides an endofunctor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ whose terminal coalgebra is carried by the monad T_Σ assigning to a set X the set of all Σ -trees over X , and the locally finite fixed point ϑF is the monad A_Σ of Courcelle’s algebraic Σ -trees [27]. Note that in this category \mathcal{C} , fp and fg objects do not coincide, so it is unclear whether ϑF and ϱF are isomorphic.

In the setting of general locally finitely presentable categories, there is no analogy to φF , of course.

We now present a new example where only φF is interesting but the other three fixed points are trivial.

Example 2.2. We consider the monad T on \mathbf{Set} whose algebras are the algebras with one unary operation u (with no equation):

$$TX = \mathbb{N} \times X \quad \text{with} \quad u(n, x) = (n + 1, x).$$

The functor F is the identity functor \mathbf{Id} on the category $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}^T$. The final coalgebra for \mathbf{Id} is (lifted from \mathbf{Set} and therefore is) the trivial algebra on 1 with \mathbf{id}_1 as coalgebra structure. Since 1 is clearly finitely presented by one generator x and the relation $u(x) = x$, both of the diagrams $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{fp}} \mathbf{Id}$ and $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{fg}} \mathbf{Id}$ have a terminal object which is then their colimit, whence $\varrho \mathbf{Id} \cong \vartheta \mathbf{Id} \cong 1$.

However, $\varphi \mathbf{Id}$ is non-trivial and interesting: an ffg-coalgebra $TX \xrightarrow{\gamma} TX$ may be viewed (by restricting it to its generators in X) as obtained by generalized determinization of an FT -coalgebra with $F = \mathbf{Id}$ on \mathbf{Set} , i.e. a map $X \xrightarrow{(o, \delta)} \mathbb{N} \times X$ that we call *stream coalgebra*. Given a state $x \in X$, we call the sequence of natural numbers

$$(o(x), o(\delta(x)), o(\delta^2(x)), \dots)$$

the *stream generated by x* . Since X is finite, this stream is eventually periodic, i.e. of the form $s = s_0 s_1^\omega$ for finite lists s_0 and s_1 of natural numbers. (Here $(-)^\omega$

means infinite iteration.) Two eventually periodic streams $s = s_0 s_1^\omega$ and $t = t_0 t_1^\omega$ with $s_1 = (s_{1,0}, \dots, s_{1,p-1})$ and $t_1 = (t_{1,0}, \dots, t_{1,q-1})$ are called *equivalent* if one has

$$q \cdot \sum_{i < p} s_{1,i} = p \cdot \sum_{j < q} t_{1,j}, \quad (2.2)$$

i.e. the entries of the two lists s_1^q and t_1^p of length $p \cdot q$ have the same sum. For instance, the streams

$$s = (1, 2, 7, 4)(1, 3, 2)^\omega = (1, 2, 7, 4, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, \dots)$$

and

$$t = (5, 6)(0, 4)^\omega = (5, 6, 0, 4, 0, 4, 0, 4, 0, 4, \dots)$$

are equivalent. Note that the above notion of equivalence is well-defined, i.e. not depending on the choice of the finite lists s_0, s_1 and t_0, t_1 in the representation of s and t . In fact, given alternative representations $s = \bar{s}_0 \bar{s}_1^\omega$ and $t = \bar{t}_0 \bar{t}_1^\omega$ with $\bar{s}_1 = (\bar{s}_{1,0}, \dots, \bar{s}_{1,\bar{p}-1})$ and $\bar{t}_1 = (\bar{t}_{1,0}, \dots, \bar{t}_{1,\bar{q}-1})$, the lists $s_1^{\bar{p}}$ and $\bar{s}_1^{\bar{p}}$ are equal up to cyclic shift, as are the lists $t_1^{\bar{q}}$ and $\bar{t}_1^{\bar{q}}$. Therefore from (2.2) it follows that

$$\bar{q} \cdot q \cdot p \cdot \sum_{i < \bar{p}} \bar{s}_{1,i} = \bar{q} \cdot q \cdot \bar{p} \cdot \sum_{i < p} s_{1,i} = \bar{q} \cdot \bar{p} \cdot p \cdot \sum_{j < q} t_{1,j} = \bar{p} \cdot p \cdot q \cdot \sum_{j < \bar{q}} \bar{t}_{1,j}.$$

Dividing by $p \cdot q$ yields

$$\bar{q} \cdot \sum_{i < \bar{p}} \bar{s}_{1,i} = \bar{p} \cdot \sum_{j < \bar{q}} \bar{t}_{1,j},$$

as required.

Lemma 2.3. (a) *The coalgebra φld is carried by the set of equivalence classes of eventually periodic streams. The unary operation and the coalgebra structure are both given by $\text{id}: \varphi\text{ld} \rightarrow \varphi\text{ld}$.* (b) *For any ld -coalgebra (TX, γ_X) with X finite, the colimit injection $\gamma_X^\# : TX \rightarrow \varphi\text{ld}$ maps $(m, x) \in TX$ to the equivalence class of the stream generated by x .*

Proof. (1) We first show that the morphisms $(-)^{\#}$ form a cocone. Given an ffg-coalgebra (TX, γ_X) and elements $(m, x), (n, y) \in TX$ with $\gamma_X(m, x) = (n, y)$, the stream generated by y is the tail of the stream generated by x , and thus the two streams are equivalent. This shows that $\gamma_X^\#$ is a coalgebra homomorphism.

To show that the morphisms $(-)^{\#}$ form a compatible family, suppose that $h: (TX, \gamma_X) \rightarrow (TY, \gamma_Y)$ is a homomorphism of ffg-coalgebras, and let $(m, x) \in TX$ and $(n, y) \in TY$ with $h(m, x) = (n, y)$ be given. We need to show that the streams generated by x and y are equivalent. Denote by

$$(m_j, x_j) := \gamma_X^j(m, x) \quad \text{and} \quad (n_j, y_j) := \gamma_Y^j(n, y) \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \quad (2.3)$$

the states reached from (m, x) and (n, y) after j steps. Since h is a coalgebra homomorphism, one has $h(m_j, x_j) = (n_j, y_j)$ for all j . Since X is finite, there

exist natural numbers $k \geq 0$ and $p > 0$ with $x_k = x_{k+p}$. Then the eventually periodic stream generated by x is given by

$$(m_1 - m_0, m_2 - m_1, \dots, m_k - m_{k-1})(m_{k+1} - m_k, \dots, m_{k+p} - m_{k+p-1})^\omega$$

Since $h(m_k, x_k) = (n_k, y_k)$ and $h(m_{k+p}, x_{k+p}) = (n_{k+p}, y_{k+p})$, one has $y_k = y_{k+p}$, which implies that y generates the stream

$$(n_1 - n_0, n_2 - n_1, \dots, n_k - n_{k-1})(n_{k+1} - n_k, \dots, n_{k+p} - n_{k+p-1})^\omega$$

To show that the streams generated by x and y are equivalent, it suffices to verify that $m_{k+p} - m_k = n_{k+p} - n_k$, as this entails that

$$p \cdot \sum_{i < p} m_{k+i+1} - m_{k+i} = p \cdot (m_{k+p} - m_k) = p \cdot (n_{k+p} - n_k) = p \cdot \sum_{i < p} n_{k+i+1} - n_{k+i}.$$

To prove the desired equation, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (n_{k+p}, y_{k+p}) &= h(m_{k+p}, x_{k+p}) \\ &= h(m_{k+p}, x_k) \\ &= h(m_{k+p} - m_k + m_k, x_k) \\ &= (m_{k+p} - m_k + n_k, y_k) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality uses that $h(m_k, x_k) = (n_k, y_k)$ and that h is a morphism in \mathcal{C} . This implies $n_{k+p} = m_{k+p} - m_k + n_k$.

(2) We prove that the cocone $(-)^{\#}$ is a colimit cocone. Since sifted colimits in $\mathbf{CoalgId}$ are formed as in \mathcal{C} and thus as in \mathbf{Set} , it suffices to show that (i) the morphisms $\gamma_X^{\#}$ are jointly surjective and (ii) given ffg-coalgebras (TX, γ_X) and (TY, γ_Y) and two states $(m, x) \in TX$ and $(n, y) \in TY$ merged by $\gamma_X^{\#}$ and $\gamma_Y^{\#}$, there exists a zig-zag in $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} \mathbf{Id}$ connecting the two states. Statement (i) is clear because finite stream coalgebras generate precisely the eventually periodic streams. For (ii), we adapt the argument of the first part of our proof and continue to use the notation (2.3). Since X and Y are finite, there exist natural numbers $k \geq 0$ and $p > 0$ with $x_k = x_{k+p}$ and $y_k = y_{k+p}$. As the streams generated by x and y are equivalent, one has $m_{k+p} - m_k = n_{k+p} - n_k$. Consider the ffg-coalgebra (TZ, γ_Z) with $Z = \{z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{k+p-1}\}$, and γ_Z defined by

$$\gamma_Z(z_j) = (0, z_{j+1}) \quad (j < k + p - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_Z(z_{k+p-1}) = (m_{k+p} - m_k, z_k).$$

Form the morphisms $g: TZ \rightarrow TX$ and $h: TZ \rightarrow TY$ given by

$$g(z_j) = (m_j, x_j) \quad \text{and} \quad h(z_j) = (n_j, y_j) \quad (j < k + p).$$

Then g and h are coalgebra homomorphisms. Indeed, for $j < k + p - 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\gamma_Z(z_j)) &= g(0, z_{j+1}) && \text{(def. } \gamma_Z) \\ &= (m_{j+1}, x_{j+1}) && \text{(def. } g) \\ &= \gamma_X(m_j, x_j) && \text{(def. } m_{j+1}, x_{j+1}) \\ &= \gamma_X(g(z_j)) && \text{(def. } g) \end{aligned}$$

and moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\gamma_Z(z_{k+p-1})) &= g(m_{k+p} - m_k, z_k) && \text{(def. } \gamma_Z) \\
&= (m_{k+p} - m_k + m_k, x_k) && \text{(def. } g) \\
&= (m_{k+p}, x_{k+p}) \\
&= \gamma_X(m_{k+p-1}, x_{k+p-1}) && \text{(def. } m_{k+p}, x_{k+1}) \\
&= \gamma_X(g(z_{k+p-1})) && \text{(def. } g)
\end{aligned}$$

and analogously for h . Thus we have constructed a zig-zag

$$(TX, \gamma_X) \xleftarrow{g} (TZ, \gamma_Z) \xrightarrow{h} (TY, \gamma_Y)$$

in $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} \mathbf{Id}$ connecting (m, x) and (n, y) , as required. \square

Observe that every non-empty ffg-coalgebra (TX, γ_X) admits infinitely many coalgebra homomorphisms into $\varphi \mathbf{Id}$, for instance, any constant map into $\varphi \mathbf{Id}$ is one. This shows that, in general, the coalgebra φF is not final w.r.t. the coalgebras in $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F$.

3 Recap: Elgot Algebras

In this section we briefly recall the notion of an Elgot algebra [1] and some key results to contrast this with our subsequent development of ffg-Elgot algebras in Section 4. Throughout this section we assume the endofunctor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to be finitary.

Definition 3.1. *An fp-equation is a morphism*

$$e: X \rightarrow FX + A,$$

where X is an fp object (of variables) and A an arbitrary object of parameters.

Suppose that A carries the structure of an F -algebra $a: FA \rightarrow A$. Then a solution of e in A is a morphism $e^\dagger: X \rightarrow A$ such that the square (2.1) commutes.

Notation 3.2. We use the following notation for fp-equations:

- (1) Given an fp-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$ and a morphism $h: A \rightarrow B$ we have an fp-equation

$$h \bullet e = (X \xrightarrow{e} FX + A \xrightarrow{FX+h} FX + B).$$

- (2) Given a pair of fp-equations $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y$ and $f: Y \rightarrow FY + Z$ we combine them into the following fp-equation

$$e \blacksquare f = (X + Y \xrightarrow{[e, \text{inr}]} FX + Y \xrightarrow{FX+f} FX + FY + Z \xrightarrow{\text{can}+Z} F(X+Y) + Z),$$

where $\text{can} = [F\text{inl}, F\text{inr}]: FX + FY \rightarrow F(X + Y)$ denotes the canonical morphism.

Definition 3.3. An Elgot algebra is a triple (A, a, \dagger) where (A, a) is an F -algebra and \dagger is an operation

$$\frac{e: X \rightarrow FX + A}{e^\dagger: X \rightarrow A}$$

assigning to every fp-equation in A a solution subject to the following two conditions:

- (1) Weak Functoriality. Given a pair of equations $e: X \rightarrow FX + Z$, $f: Y \rightarrow FY + Z$, where Z is an fp object, and a coalgebra homomorphism $m: X \rightarrow Y$ for $F(-) + Z$, then for every morphism $h: Z \rightarrow A$ we have $(h \bullet f)^\dagger \cdot m = (h \bullet e)^\dagger$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + Z \\ m \downarrow & & \downarrow Fm + Z \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & FY + Z \end{array} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & & \\ m \downarrow & \searrow^{(h \bullet e)^\dagger} & \\ Y & \nearrow_{(h \bullet f)^\dagger} & A \end{array} \quad \text{for all } h: Z \rightarrow A.$$

- (2) Compositionality. For every pair of fp-equations $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y$ and $f: Y \rightarrow FY + A$ we have

$$(e \blacksquare f)^\dagger \cdot \text{inl} = (f^\dagger \bullet e)^\dagger: X \rightarrow A.$$

Remark 3.4. Later we will need the following properties of \bullet and \blacksquare :

- (1) $t \bullet (s \bullet e) = (t \cdot s) \bullet e$ for every $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$, $s: A \rightarrow B$ and $t: B \rightarrow C$;
- (2) $s \bullet (e \blacksquare f) = e \blacksquare (s \bullet f)$ for every $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y$, $f: Y \rightarrow FY + A$ and $s: A \rightarrow B$;
- (3) $(e \blacksquare f) \blacksquare g = (\text{inl} \bullet e) \blacksquare (f \blacksquare g)$ for every $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y$, $f: Y \rightarrow FY + Z$ and $g: Z \rightarrow FZ + V$.

For the proof of the first two see [1, Remark 4.6]. The remaining one is easy to prove by considering the three coproduct components of $X + Y + Z$ separately, we leave this as an easy exercise for the reader.

Note that, in lieu of weak functoriality, \dagger previously [1] was required to satisfy (full) functoriality, i.e. given fp-equations $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$, $f: Y \rightarrow FY + A$ and a coalgebra homomorphism $m: (X, e) \rightarrow (Y, f)$ we have $f^\dagger \cdot m = e^\dagger: X \rightarrow A$. However, this makes no difference:

Lemma 3.5. *Functoriality and weak functoriality are equivalent properties of \dagger .*

Proof. Functoriality clearly implies Weak Functoriality. In order to prove the converse, let $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$, $f: Y \rightarrow FY + A$ be fp-equations, and let $m: (X, e) \rightarrow (Y, f)$ be a coalgebra morphism. Write A is the filtered colimit of its canonical diagram $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fp}}/A$ (cf. Section 2.1). The functor $FX + (-)$ preserves filtered colimits, and so $FX + A$ is the filtered colimit of the diagram formed by all morphisms $FX + h: FX + Z \rightarrow FX + A$. Since X is fp, the morphism

$e: X \rightarrow FX + A$ factors through one of these morphisms, i.e. there exists a morphism $h: Z \rightarrow A$ with Z fp and $e': X \rightarrow FX + Z$ such that $e = h \bullet e'$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + A \\ & \searrow e' & \uparrow FX+h \\ & & FX + Z \end{array}$$

Similarly, we have a factorization of $f: Y \rightarrow FY + A$, and by filteredness of the diagram $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fp}}/A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ we can assume the same $h: Z \rightarrow A$ is used. Thus a morphism $f': Y \rightarrow FY + Z$ is given such that $h \bullet f' = (FY + h) \cdot f' = f$. We do not claim that m is a coalgebra homomorphism from (X, e') to (Y, f') . However, the corresponding equation holds when postcomposed by the colimit injection $FY + h$:

$$\begin{aligned} (FX + h) \cdot (Fm + Z) \cdot e' &= (Fm + A) \cdot (FX + h) \cdot e' \\ &= (Fm + A) \cdot e \\ &= f \cdot m \\ &= (FY + h) \cdot f' \cdot m. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there exists a morphism $h: Z' \rightarrow A$ with Z' fp and a connecting morphism $z: Z \rightarrow Z'$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fp}}/A$, i.e. z satisfies $h' \cdot z = h$, such that $FY + z$ merges $(Fm + Z) \cdot e'$ and $f' \cdot m$. It follows that m is a coalgebra homomorphism from $z \bullet e'$ to $z \bullet f'$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & z \bullet e' & & \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{---} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \\ X & \xrightarrow{e'} & FX + Z & \xrightarrow{FX+z} & FX + Z' \\ \downarrow m & & \downarrow Fm+Z & & \downarrow Fm+Z' \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f'} & FY + Z & \xrightarrow{FY+z} & FY + Z' \\ & & z \bullet f' & & \end{array}$$

Indeed, the left-hand square commutes when postcomposed with $FY + z$; thus, since the upper and lower parts as well as the right-hand square commute, so does the outside, as desired. By weak functoriality, we thus conclude

$$\begin{aligned} f^\dagger \cdot m &= (h \bullet f')^\dagger \cdot m = ((h' \cdot z) \bullet f')^\dagger \cdot m = (h' \bullet (z \bullet f'))^\dagger \cdot m \\ &= (h' \bullet (z \bullet e'))^\dagger = ((h' \cdot z) \bullet e')^\dagger = (h \bullet e')^\dagger = e^\dagger. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Examples 3.6. Let us recall a few examples of Elgot algebras [1].

- (1) Iterative F -algebras (cf. Section 2.4): the operation \dagger assigning to every equation its unique solution satisfies Compositionality and (Weak) Functoriality, see [1, 2.15–1.19]. It follows that ϱF , ϑF and νF are Elgot algebras.

- (2) Cpo enrichable algebras. Recall that a *complete partial order* (*cpo*, for short) is a partially ordered set having joins of ω -chains. Cpos form a category CPO together with the *continuous* functions, i.e. functions preserving joins of ω -chains. Let $F_0: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be a functor having a *locally continuous* lifting $F: \mathbf{CPO} \rightarrow \mathbf{CPO}$, i.e. a lifting such that the hom mappings $\mathbf{CPO}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{CPO}(FX, FY)$ are continuous. For example, every polynomial functor F_Σ associated to the signature Σ has a lifting to CPO.

Suppose further that $a: FA \rightarrow A$ is an algebra where A is a CPO with a least element \perp and a is continuous. Then A is an Elgot algebra w.r.t. the operation \dagger assigning the least solution. More precisely, given an fp-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$ (in \mathbf{Set}) consider X as a cpo with discrete order and let $e^\dagger: X \rightarrow A$ be the least fixed point of the continuous function

$$h \mapsto [a, A] \cdot (Fh + A) \cdot e$$

on the cpo of continuous functions from X to A . For details see [1, 3.5–3.8].

- (3) CMS enrichable algebras. A related example is based on *complete metric spaces*, i.e. metric spaces in which every Cauchy sequence has a limit. Here one considers the category CMS of complete metric spaces with distances in $[0, 1]$ and non-expanding maps, i.e. maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $x, x' \in X$ one has $d_Y(fx, fx') \leq d_X(x, x')$. Let $F_0: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ have a *locally contracting* lifting to CMS, i.e. a lifting $F: \mathbf{CMS} \rightarrow \mathbf{CMS}$ such that there exists some $\varepsilon < 1$ such that for all $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ in CMS one has

$$d_{X,Y}(f, g) \leq \varepsilon d_{FX, FY}(Ff, Fg),$$

where $d_{X,Y}$ denotes the sup-metric on $\mathbf{CMS}(X, Y)$. Again, polynomial set functors have locally contracting liftings to CMS.

Now suppose that $a: FA \rightarrow A$ is a non-empty algebra such that A carries a complete metric space and a is a non-expanding map. Then A is iterative, whence an Elgot algebra. In fact, for every equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$ consider X as a discrete metric space (i.e. all distances are 1) and consider the ε -contracting function

$$h \mapsto [a, A] \cdot (Fh + A) \cdot e$$

on $\mathbf{CMS}(X, A)$. Then, by Banach's fixed point theorem, this function has a unique fixed point, viz. a unique solution of e . For details see [1, 2.8–2.11].

- (4) As a concrete instance of the previous point one can obtain fractals as solutions of equations. For example, let A be the set of closed subsets of the unit interval $[0, 1]$ equipped with the following binary operation:

$$(C, C') \mapsto \frac{1}{3}C \cup \left(\frac{1}{3}C' + \frac{2}{3} \right),$$

where $\frac{1}{3}C = \{\frac{1}{3}c \mid c \in C\}$ etc. Then A is an algebra for $F_0X = X \times X$ on \mathbf{Set} , and this F_0 has the locally contracting lifting $F(X, d) = (X \times X, \frac{1}{3}d_{\max})$,

where d_{\max} denotes the usual maximum metric on the cartesian product. One sees that A is an algebra for F when equipped with the so-called Hausdorff metric. Hence, it is an Elgot algebra. For example, let $X = \{x\}$ and let $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$ be given by $e(x) = (x, x)$. Then $e^\dagger(x)$ is the well-known Cantor set.

The rational fixed point ϱ^F is, besides being the initial iterative F -algebra, also an initial Elgot algebra. Moreover, for every object Y , the rational fixed point $\varrho(F(-) + Y)$ is a free iterative algebra on Y . Thus, the object assignment $R: Y \mapsto \varrho(F(-) + Y)$ yields a monad on \mathcal{C} , and one obtains the following

Theorem 3.7 ([1]). *The category of Eilenberg-Moore algebras for R is isomorphic to the category of Elgot algebras for F .*

Thus, in particular, $\varrho(F(-) + Y)$ is not only a free iterative algebra but also a free Elgot algebra on Y .

4 FFG-Elgot Algebras

The rest of our paper is devoted to studying the fixed point φ^F , the colimit of all ffg-coalgebras for F , in its own right and establish a universal property of it as an algebra.

Assumption 4.1. *Throughout the rest of the paper we assume that \mathcal{C} is a variety of algebras and that $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an endofunctor preserving sifted colimits.*

Examples 4.2. (1) For the monad T representing \mathcal{C} , all functors that are liftings of finitary set functor F_0 (i.e., with a distributive law of T over F_0) preserve sifted colimits. Indeed, finitary set functors F_0 preserve all sifted colimits [6, Proposition 6.30]. Since \mathcal{C} is cocomplete and the forgetful functor $U: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ preserves and reflects sifted colimits, it follows that every lifting of F_0 preserves sifted colimits, too. The following examples are not liftings of set functors.

- (2) The functor $FX = X + X$, where $+$ denotes the coproduct of \mathcal{C} preserves sifted colimits. More generally, every coproduct of sifted colimit preserving functors preserves them too. Similarly, for finite products of sifted colimit preserving functors. Thus, all polynomial functors on \mathcal{C} preserve sifted colimits.
- (3) Let \mathcal{C} is an *entropic* variety, i.e. such that the usual tensor product makes it symmetric monoidal closed. (Examples include sets, vector spaces, join-semilattices, or abelian groups.) Then the functor $FX = X \otimes X$ preserves sifted colimits. To see this, it suffices to show that (a) F is finitary and (b) it preserves reflexive coequalizers (see [5]). First note that since \mathcal{C} is symmetric monoidal closed, we know that each functor $X \otimes -$ and $- \otimes X$ is a left adjoint and therefore preserves all colimits.

Ad (a). Suppose that $D: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a filtered diagram with colimit injections $a_d: Dd \rightarrow A$ for $d \in \mathcal{D}$. We need to prove that all $a_d \otimes a_d: Dd \otimes Dd \rightarrow A \otimes A$

form a colimit cocone. That is, for every morphism $f : X \rightarrow A \otimes A$ with X fp, (i) there exists some $d \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g : X \rightarrow Dd \otimes Dd$ with $(a_d \otimes a_d) \cdot g = f$ and (ii) given $g, h : X \rightarrow Dd \otimes Dd$ that yield f in this way, there exists a morphism $m : d \rightarrow d'$ in \mathcal{D} such that $Dm \otimes Dm$ merges g and h .

To prove (i), we use that $- \otimes A$ is finitary to obtain some $d \in \mathcal{D}$ and $f' : X \rightarrow A \otimes Dd$ with $(A \otimes a_d) \cdot f' = f$. Now use that $Dd \otimes -$ is finitary to obtain $d' \in \mathcal{D}$ and $f'' : X \rightarrow Dd \otimes Dd'$ with $(Dd \otimes a_{d'}) \cdot f'' = f'$. Since \mathcal{D} is filtered, we can choose morphisms $m : d \rightarrow \bar{d}$ and $n : d' \rightarrow \bar{d}$ in \mathcal{D} . Let $g = (Dm \otimes Dn) \cdot f''$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{\bar{d}} \otimes a_{\bar{d}}) \cdot g &= (a_{\bar{d}} \otimes a_{\bar{d}}) \cdot (Dm \otimes Dn) \cdot f'' = (a_d \otimes a_{d'}) \cdot f'' \\ &= (a_d \otimes A) \cdot (Dd \otimes a_{d'}) \cdot f'' = (a_d \otimes A) \cdot f' = f \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

For (ii), use first that $- \otimes A$ is finitary and choose some morphism $o : d \rightarrow d'$ such that

$$(Do \otimes A) \cdot ((Dd \otimes a_d) \cdot g) = (Do \otimes A) \cdot ((Dd \otimes a_d) \cdot h).$$

It follows that $(Dd' \otimes a_d)$ merges $(Do \otimes Dd) \cdot g$ and $(Do \otimes Dd) \cdot h$. Now use that $Dd' \otimes -$ is finitary and choose a morphism $p : d \rightarrow d''$ in \mathcal{D} such that $(Dd' \otimes Dp)$ also merges those two morphisms. Finally, use that \mathcal{D} is filtered to choose two morphisms $q : d' \rightarrow \bar{d}$ and $r : d'' \rightarrow \bar{d}$ such that $q \cdot o = r \cdot p$, and let us call this last morphism $m : d \rightarrow \bar{d}$. It is then easy to see that $Dm \otimes Dm$ merges g and h :

$$\begin{aligned} (Dm \otimes Dm) \cdot g &= (D(q \cdot o) \otimes D(r \cdot p)) \cdot g = (Dq \otimes Dr) \cdot (Do \otimes Dp) \cdot g \\ &= (Dq \otimes Dr) \cdot (Dd' \otimes Dp) \cdot (Do \otimes Dd) \cdot g \\ &= (Dq \otimes Dr) \cdot (Dd' \otimes Dp) \cdot (Do \otimes Dd) \cdot h \\ &= (Dm \otimes Dm) \cdot h. \end{aligned}$$

Ad (b). Let $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ be, and let $c : B \rightarrow C$ be their coequalizer. Use that all functors $- \otimes X$ and $X \otimes -$ preserve coequalizers to see that in the following diagram, whose parts commute in the obvious way, all rows and columns are coequalizers:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{f \otimes A} & B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{c \otimes A} & C \otimes A \\ \downarrow A \otimes g & \parallel A \otimes f & \downarrow B \otimes g & \parallel B \otimes f & \downarrow C \otimes g \\ A \otimes B & \xrightarrow{f \otimes B} & B \otimes B & \xrightarrow{c \otimes B} & C \otimes B \\ \downarrow A \otimes c & \parallel A \otimes c & \downarrow B \otimes c & \parallel B \otimes c & \downarrow C \otimes c \\ A \otimes C & \xrightarrow{f \otimes C} & B \otimes C & \xrightarrow{c \otimes C} & C \otimes C \end{array}$$

By the ‘3-by-3 lemma’ [20, Lemma 0.17], it follows that the diagonal yields a coequalizer too, i.e., $c \otimes c$ is a coequalizer of the pair $f \otimes f, g \otimes g$ as desired.

- (4) Combining the previous argument with induction, we see that sifted colimit preserving functors on an entropic variety \mathcal{C} are stable under finite tensor products. Thus, all tensor-polynomial functors on \mathcal{C} preserve sifted colimits.

Under our assumptions we know that φF is a fixed point of F and we will henceforth denote the inverse of its coalgebra structure by $t: F(\varphi F) \rightarrow \varphi F$.

Definition 4.3. *By an ffg-equation is meant a morphism $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$ where X is an ffg object. An ffg-Elgot algebra is a triple (A, a, \dagger) where (A, a) is an F -algebra and \dagger is an operation*

$$\frac{e: X \rightarrow FX + A}{e^\dagger: X \rightarrow A}$$

assigning to every ffg-equation in A a solution and satisfying Weak Functoriality 3.3(1) and Compositionality 3.3(2) with X, Y and Z restricted to ffg objects.

Remark 4.4. Note that in categories where fp objects are ffg, e.g. in the category of sets or vector spaces, (ordinary) Elgot algebras and ffg-Elgot algebras are the same concept. However, in the present setting this may not be the case. Moreover, we do not know whether, for ffg-Elgot algebras, weak functoriality implies functoriality. Moreover, the proofs of our main results (in particular Proposition 4.8 and Theorem 4.12) do not work when weak functoriality is replaced by functoriality.

Remark 4.5. In the case where $F: \mathbf{Set}^T \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^T$ is a lifting of a functor $F_0: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ (via a distributive law λ), then an F -algebra is given by a set A equipped with both a T -algebra structure $\alpha: TA \rightarrow A$ and an F_0 -algebra structure $a: F_0A \rightarrow A$ such that a is a T -algebra homomorphism, i.e. one has $\alpha \cdot Ta = a \cdot F\alpha \cdot \lambda_A$. Morphisms of F -algebras are those maps that are both T -algebra and F_0 -algebra homomorphisms. Now one may think of ffg-equations and their solutions as modelling *effectful iteration*. Indeed, let X_0 be a finite set of variables and consider any map

$$e_0: X_0 \rightarrow T(F_0X_0 + A).$$

Then this may be regarded as a system of recursive equations with variables X_0 and parameters in A , where for any recursive call a side effect in T might happen. If (A, α, a) is an F -algebra, a solution to such a recursive system should assign to each variable in X_0 an element of A , i.e. we have a map $e_0^\dagger: X_0 \rightarrow A$, such that the square below commutes (here we write $+$ for disjoint union and \oplus for the coproduct in \mathcal{C} , which may be different):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{e_0^\dagger} & A \\ \downarrow e_0 & & \uparrow \alpha \\ & & TA \\ & & \uparrow T[a, A] \\ T(F_0X_0 + A) & \xrightarrow{T(F_0e_0^\dagger + A)} & T(F_0A + A) \end{array}$$

Indeed, from e_0 we may form the map

$$\bar{e} = (X_0 \xrightarrow{e_0} T(F_0 X_0 + A)) \xrightarrow{\cong} T F_0 X_0 \oplus T A \xrightarrow{\lambda_X \oplus \alpha} F T X_0 \oplus A).$$

Then its unique extension $T X_0 \rightarrow F T X_0 \oplus A$ to a T -algebra morphism is an ffg-equation, and a solution $T X_0 \rightarrow A$ of this in the sense of Definition 4.3 is precisely the same as an extension of a solution for e_0 in the above sense.

Construction 4.6. We aim at proving that φF is an initial ffg-Elgot algebra. For that we first construct a solution $e^\dagger : X \rightarrow \varphi F$ for every given ffg-equation $e : X \rightarrow F X + \varphi F$.

Since X is an ffg-object, $\mathcal{C}(X, -)$ preserves the sifted colimit

$$F X + \varphi F = \operatorname{colim}(F X + C), \quad (C, c) \text{ in } \mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F.$$

Every ffg-equation $e : X \rightarrow F X + \varphi F$ thus factorizes through one of the colimit injections $F X + c^\sharp$, i.e. for some $c : C \rightarrow F C$ in $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F$ and $w : X \rightarrow F X + C$ we have the commutative triangle below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e} & F X + \varphi F \\ & \searrow w & \uparrow F X + c^\sharp \\ & & F X + C \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

We see that w is an ffg-equation. We combine it with the ffg-equation c (having the initial object 0 as parameter) to $w \blacksquare c : X + C \rightarrow F(X + C)$, which is an object of $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F$. Finally, we put

$$e^\dagger = (X \xrightarrow{\operatorname{inl}} X + C \xrightarrow{(w \blacksquare c)^\sharp} \varphi F). \quad (4.2)$$

We prove below that e^\dagger is indeed a solution of e in the algebra φF and verify some properties used later.

Lemma 4.7. *The definition of e^\dagger in (4.2) is independent of the choice of the factorization (4.1), and e^\dagger is a solution of e in φF .*

Proposition 4.8. *The algebra $t : F(\varphi F) \rightarrow \varphi F$ together with the solution operator \dagger from Construction 4.6 is an ffg-Elgot algebra.*

Proof.

□

Definition 4.9. *A morphism of ffg-Elgot algebras from (A, a, \dagger) to (B, b, \ddagger) is a morphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} preserving solutions, i.e. for every ffg-equation $e : X \rightarrow F X + A$ we have*

$$(h \bullet e)^\ddagger = h \cdot e^\dagger.$$

Identity morphisms are clearly ffg-Elgot algebra morphisms, and ffg-Elgot algebra morphisms compose. Therefore ffg-Elgot algebras form a category, which we denote by

$$\text{ffg-Elgot } F.$$

The next lemma shows that the above category is a subcategory of the category $\mathbf{Alg } F$ of algebras for F .

Lemma 4.10. *Morphisms of ffg-Elgot algebras are F -algebra homomorphisms.*

Note that the converse fails in general. In fact, [1, Example 4.4] exhibits an (ffg-)Elgot algebra for the identity functor on \mathbf{Set} and an algebra morphism on it which is not solution-preserving.

Theorem 4.11. *The triple $(\varphi F, t, \dagger)$ is the initial ffg-Elgot algebra for F .*

Proof (Sketch). Let (A, a, \dagger) be an ffg-Elgot algebra. We obtain a cocone over the diagram

$$\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F \rightarrow \mathbf{Coalg } F \xrightarrow{U} \mathcal{C}$$

(where U is the forgetful functor) as follows: to every ffg-coalgebra $c: C \rightarrow FC$ assign the solution

$$(i_A \bullet c)^\dagger: C \rightarrow A$$

of $i_A \bullet c: C \rightarrow FC + A$, where $i_A: 0 \rightarrow A$ is the unique morphism. Thus there exists a unique morphism $h: \varphi F \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} such that the triangle below commutes for every ffg-coalgebra $c: C \rightarrow FC$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & & \\ c^\# \downarrow & \searrow^{(i_A \bullet c)^\dagger} & \\ \varphi F & \xrightarrow{h} & A \end{array}$$

One then shows that the morphism h is solution-preserving and is the unique such morphism. \square

The following result is the key to constructing free ffg-Elgot algebras. In the case where $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ffg}} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{fp}}$, this yields a new result about ordinary Elgot algebras.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $a: FA \rightarrow A$ be an F -algebra and let Y be a free object of \mathcal{C} . For any morphism $h: Y \rightarrow A$, there is a bijective correspondence between*

- (i) solution operators \dagger such that (A, a, \dagger) is an ffg-Elgot algebra for F , and
- (ii) solution operators \ddagger such that $(A, [a, h], \ddagger)$ is an ffg-Elgot algebra for $F(-) + Y$.

Proof (Sketch). (1) Given an ffg-Elgot algebra (A, a, \dagger) for F , we define a solution operator \ddagger w.r.t. $F(-) + Y$ as follows. For any ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y + A$, let

$$e_h \equiv X \xrightarrow{e} FX + Y + A \xrightarrow{FX + [h, A]} FX + A$$

and put

$$e^\ddagger := e_h^\ddagger.$$

Then one can prove that $(A, [a, h], \ddagger)$ is an ffg-Elgot algebra for $F(-) + Y$. (In order to verify weak functoriality, the assumption that Y is free is critical.)

(2) Conversely, given an ffg-Elgot algebra $(A, [a, h], \ddagger)$ for $F(-) + Y$, we define a solution operator \ddagger w.r.t. F as follows. For any ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$, let

$$\bar{e} \equiv X \xrightarrow{e} FX + A \xrightarrow{\text{inl}+A} FX + Y + A$$

and put

$$e^\ddagger := \bar{e}^\ddagger.$$

Then one can prove that (A, a, \ddagger) is an ffg-Elgot algebra.

(3) Finally, one shows that the two passages $\ddagger \mapsto \ddagger$ and $\ddagger \mapsto \ddagger$ are mutually inverse. \square

For the forgetful functor of ffg-Elgot algebras

$$U_F: \text{ffg-Elgot } F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

recall that the slice category Y/U_F has as objects all morphisms $y: Y \rightarrow U_F(A, a, \ddagger)$, and morphisms into $y': Y \rightarrow U_F(B, b, \ddagger)$ are the solution-preserving morphisms $p: (A, a, \ddagger) \rightarrow (B, b, \ddagger)$ with $p \cdot y = p'$. Denote by $\pi: Y/U_F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the projection functor.

Corollary 4.13. *For every free object Y of \mathcal{C} , there is an isomorphism I of categories making the following triangle commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ffg-Elgot}(F(-) + Y) & \xrightarrow{I} & Y/U_F \\ & \searrow U_{F(-)+Y} & \swarrow \pi \\ & & \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

It is given by $(A, [a, h], \ddagger) \mapsto (h: Y \rightarrow U_F(A, a, \ddagger))$.

Construction 4.14. For any object Y of \mathcal{C} denote by ΦY the colimit of all ffg-coalgebras for $F(-) + Y$, that is, $\Phi Y = \varphi(F(-) + Y)$. Its coalgebra structure is invertible, and we denote by

$$t_Y: F\Phi Y \rightarrow \Phi Y \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_Y: Y \rightarrow \Phi Y$$

the components of its inverse.

The F -algebra $(\Phi Y, t_Y)$ is endowed with a canonical solution operation \ddagger : given an ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + \Phi Y$, put $\bar{e} \equiv X \xrightarrow{e} FX + \Phi Y \xrightarrow{FX+\text{inl}} FX + Y + \Phi Y$. This ffg-equation for $F(-) + Y$ has a solution $\bar{e}^\ddagger: X \rightarrow \Phi Y$ in the ffg-Elgot algebra $\Phi Y = \varphi(F(-) + Y)$, and we put $e^\ddagger := \bar{e}^\ddagger$.

The next result shows that all ffg-Elgot algebras form an algebraic category over the given variety \mathcal{C} .

Theorem 4.15. *For every free object Y of \mathcal{C} , the algebra $(\Phi Y, t_Y)$ with the solution operation \dagger is a free ffg-Elgot algebra for F on Y with η_Y as the universal morphism.*

Proof (Sketch). ΦY is an ffg-Elgot algebra since it, together with η_Y , corresponds to the initial ffg-Elgot algebra $\varphi(F(-) + Y)$ under the isomorphism of Corollary 4.13. To verify its universal property, let (A, a, \dagger) be an ffg-Elgot algebra for F and $h: Y \rightarrow A$ a morphism. Corollary 4.13 gives an ffg-Elgot algebra $(A, [a, h], \oplus)$ for $F(-) + Y$ with $e^\dagger = \bar{e}^\oplus$ for all ffg-equations $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$. Furthermore, Corollary 4.13 states that a morphism $p: \Phi Y \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} is solution-preserving w.r.t. $F(-) + Y$ if and only if it is solution-preserving w.r.t. F and satisfies $p \cdot \eta_Y = h$. Therefore the universal property of ΦY w.r.t. F follows from the initiality of ΦY w.r.t. $F(-) + Y$ (see Theorem 4.11). \square

Theorem 4.16. *The forgetful functor $U_F: \text{ffg-Elgot } F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is monadic.*

Proof (Sketch). (1) First, one readily proves that U_F creates sifted colimits. Moreover, U_F has a left adjoint. Indeed, for every ffg object Y there exists a free ffg-Elgot algebra on Y by Theorem 4.15, which defines the corresponding functor $\Phi: \mathcal{C}_{\text{ffg}} \rightarrow \text{ffg-Elgot } F$. We can extend it to a left adjoint of U_F as follows. Given an object Y of \mathcal{C} expressed as a sifted colimit $y_i: Y_i \rightarrow Y$ ($i \in I$) of ffg objects, then the image of that sifted diagram under Φ has a colimit $\text{colim}_{i \in I} \Phi Y_i$ which, since U_F creates sifted colimits, is an ffg-Elgot algebra. It follows easily that this colimit is a free ffg-Elgot algebra on Y .

(2) By Beck's theorem it remains to prove that U_F creates coequalizers of U_F -split pairs of morphisms. Thus let $f, g: (A, a, \dagger) \rightarrow (B, b, \ddagger)$ be solution-preserving morphisms of ffg-Elgot algebras and suppose that morphisms $c: B \rightarrow C$, $s: C \rightarrow B$ and $t: B \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} are given with $c \cdot f = c \cdot g$, $c \cdot s = \text{id}_C$, $g \cdot t = \text{id}_B$ and $s \cdot c = f \cdot t$.

$$A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xleftarrow{t} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} B \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{c} \\ \xrightarrow{s} \end{array} C$$

Since the category $\mathbf{Alg } F$ of F -algebras and their morphisms is monadic over \mathcal{C} [8] we know that there is a unique F -algebra structure $\gamma: FC \rightarrow C$ such that C is an F -algebra homomorphisms from (B, b) to (C, γ) and c is, moreover, a coequalizer of f and g in $\mathbf{Alg } F$. Define a solution operator $*$ for (C, γ) as follows. Given an ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + C$, put $e^* = c \cdot (s \bullet e)^\ddagger$. One then proves that $*$ is the unique solution operator making $(C, \gamma, *)$ an ffg-Elgot algebra and c a solution-preserving morphism from (B, b, \ddagger) to $(C, \gamma, *)$. Moreover, c is a coequalizer of f and g in ffg-Elgot F . \square

5 Conclusions and Further Work

For a functor F on a variety preserving sifted colimits, the concept of an Elgot algebra [1] has a natural weakening obtained by working with iterative equations having ffg objects of variables. We call such algebras ffg-Elgot algebras. We have

proved that the locally ffg fixed point φ^F of an endofunctor, constructed by taking the colimit of all F -coalgebras with an ffg carrier, is the initial ffg-Elgot algebra for F . Furthermore, we have proved that all free ffg-Elgot algebras exist, and we have shown that the colimit of all ffg-carried coalgebras for $F(-)+Y$ yield a free ffg-Elgot algebra on Y whenever Y is a free object of \mathcal{C} on some (possibly infinite) set. Finally, we have proved that the forgetful functor ffg-Elgot $H \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is monadic.

We leave the task of giving a coalgebraic construction of arbitrary free ffg-Elgot algebras for further work. In addition, the study of the properties of the ensuing free ffg-Elgot algebra monad is also left for the future. The monad of ordinary free Elgot algebras (cf. Section 3) yields the free Elgot monad on the given endofunctor F ; it should be interesting to see whether the above monad of free ffg-Elgot algebras is characterized by a similar universal property.

Finally, in the current setting we have the following picture of categories and forgetful functors: ffg-Elgot $F \hookrightarrow \text{Alg } F \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$. Each of those functors has a left-adjoint and is in fact monadic, and we have shown that the composite of the first two is monadic, too. We leave the question whether the composite of all three of the functors is monadic for further work.

References

1. Adámek, J., Milius, S., Velebil, J.: Elgot algebras. *Log. Methods Comput. Sci.* 2(5:4), 31 pp. (2006)
2. Adámek, J., Milius, S., Velebil, J.: Iterative algebras at work. *Math. Structures Comput. Sci.* 16(6), 1085–1131 (2006)
3. Adámek, J., Milius, S., Velebil, J.: Semantics of higher-order recursion schemes. *Log. Methods Comput. Sci.* 7(1:15), 43 pp. (2011)
4. Adámek, J., Rosický, J.: *Locally presentable and accessible categories*. Cambridge University Press (1994)
5. Adámek, J., Rosický, J., Vitale, E.: What are sifted colimits? *Theory Appl. Categ.* 23, 251–260 (2010)
6. Adámek, J., Rosický, J., Vitale, E.: *Algebraic Theories*. Cambridge University Press (2011)
7. Applegate, H.: *Acyclic models and resolvent functors*. Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University (1965)
8. Barr, M.: Coequalizers and free triples. *Math. Z.* 116, 307–322 (1970)
9. Berstel, J., Reutenauer, C.: *Rational Series and Their Languages*. Springer-Verlag (1988)
10. Bonsangue, M.M., Milius, S., Silva, A.: Sound and complete axiomatizations of coalgebraic language equivalence. *ACM Trans. Comput. Log.* 14(1:7) (2013)
11. Courcelle, B.: Fundamental properties of infinite trees. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 25, 95–169 (1983)
12. Droste, M., Kuich, W., Vogler, H. (eds.): *Handbook of weighted automata*. Monographs in Theoretical Computer Science, Springer (2009)
13. Ésik, Z., Maletti, A.: Simulation vs. equivalence. In: *Proc. 6th Int. Conf. Foundations of Computer Science*. pp. 119–122. CSREA Press (2010)
14. Ésik, Z., Maletti, A.: Simulations of weighted tree automata. In: *Proc. CIAA'11. Lecture Notes Comput. Sci.*, vol. 6482, pp. 321–330. Springer (2011)

15. Fiore, M., Plotkin, G.D., Turi, D.: Abstract syntax and variable binding. In: Proc. LICS'99. pp. 193–202. IEEE Press (1999)
16. Fliess, M.: Sur divers produits de séries formelles. Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France 102, 181–191 (1974)
17. Freyd, P.: Rédei's finiteness theorem for commutative semigroups. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19(4) (1968)
18. Ginali, S.: Regular trees and the free iterative theory. J. Comput. System Sci. 18, 228–242 (1979)
19. Johnstone, P.T.: Adjoint lifting theorems for categories of algebras. Bull. London Math. Soc. 7, 294–297 (1975)
20. Johnstone, P.T.: Topos Theory. Academic Press, London (1977)
21. Lambek, J.: A fixpoint theorem for complete categories. Math. Z. 103, 151–161 (1968)
22. Milius, S.: Completely iterative algebras and completely iterative monads. Inform. and Comput. 196, 1–41 (2005)
23. Milius, S.: A sound and complete calculus for finite stream circuits. In: Proc. LICS'10. pp. 449–458. IEEE Computer Society (2010)
24. Milius, S.: Proper functors and fixed points for finite behaviour (2017), submitted; available online at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1705.09198>
25. Milius, S.: Proper functors and their rational fixed point. In: Bonchi, F., König, B. (eds.) Proc. 7th Conference on Algebra and Coalgebra in Computer Science (CALCO'17). LIPIcs, vol. 72, pp. 18:1–18:15. Schloss Dagstuhl (2017)
26. Milius, S., Pattinson, D., Wißmann, T.: A new foundation for finitary corecursion and iterative algebras, submitted, available online at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1802.08070>
27. Milius, S., Pattinson, D., Wißmann, T.: A new foundation for finitary corecursion: The locally finite fixpoint and its properties. In: Proc. FoSSaCS'16. Lecture Notes Comput. Sci. (ARCoSS), vol. 9634, pp. 107–125. Springer (2016)
28. Milius, S., Schröder, L., Wißmann, T.: Regular behaviours with names: On rational fixpoints of endofunctors on nominal sets. Appl. Categ. Structures 24(5), 663–701 (2016)
29. Milius, S., Wißmann, T.: Finitary corecursion for the infinitary lambda calculus. In: Proc. CALCO'15. LIPIcs, vol. 35, pp. 336–351 (2015)
30. Nelson, E.: Iterative algebras. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 25, 67–94 (1983)
31. Plotkin, G.D., Turi, D.: Towards a mathematical operational semantics. In: Proc. Logic in Computer Science (LICS'97). pp. 280–291 (1997)
32. Rédei, L.: The Theory of Finitely Generated Commutative Semigroups. Pergamon, Oxford-Edinburgh-New York (1965)
33. Rutten, J.J.M.M.: Rational streams coalgebraically. Log. Methods Comput. Sci. 4(3:9), 22 pp. (2008)
34. Schützenberger, M.P.: On the definition of a family of automata. Inform. and Control 4(2–3), 275–270 (1961)
35. Silva, A., Bonchi, F., Bonsangue, M.M., Rutten, J.J.M.M.: Generalizing determinization from automata to coalgebras. Log. Methods Comput. Sci. 9(1:9) (2013)
36. Sokolova, A., Woracek, H.: Congruences of convex algebras. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219(8), 3110–3148 (2015)
37. Sokolova, A., Woracek, H.: Proper semirings and proper convex functors. Tech. Rep. 22/2017, Institute for Analysis and Scientific Computing – Vienna University of Technology, TU Wien (2017), Available at <http://www.asc.tuwien.ac.at/preprint/2017/asc22x2017.pdf>

38. Tiuryn, J.: Unique fixed points vs. least fixed points. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 12, 229–254 (1980)
39. Urbat, H.: Finite behaviours and finitary corecursion. In: *Proc. CALCO'17. LIPIcs*, vol. 72, pp. 24:1–24:15 (2017)
40. Winter, J., Bonsangue, M.M., Rutten, J.J.: Context-free coalgebras. *J. Comput. System Sci.* 81(5), 911 – 939 (2015)

A Proofs

In this Appendix we present all proof details omitted due to space restrictions.

Details on the Definition of φF

Recall from [6] that objects X of \mathcal{C} whose hom-functor $\mathcal{C}(X, -)$ preserves sifted colimits are called *perfectly presentable*, and that these objects are precisely the split quotients of ffg objects. We show that φF can be defined as the colimit of all F -coalgebras with a perfectly presentable carrier, in symbols:

$$\varphi F = \operatorname{colim}(\operatorname{Coalg}_{\text{pp}} F \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Coalg} F).$$

To this end, it suffices to prove that the inclusion functor

$$I: \operatorname{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Coalg}_{\text{pp}} F,$$

is cofinal, that is, (1) for every coalgebra in $\operatorname{Coalg}_{\text{pp}} F$ there is a homomorphism into some coalgebra in $\operatorname{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F$, and (2) for every span $(Y, d) \xleftarrow{f} (X, c) \xrightarrow{g} (Z, e)$ in $\operatorname{Coalg}_{\text{pp}} F$ with codomains in $\operatorname{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F$, there exists a zig-zag of morphisms in $(X, c) \downarrow \operatorname{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F$ connecting them.

Proof of (1). Given any F -coalgebra $c: X \rightarrow FX$ with X perfectly presentable, we know that X is a split quotient of some ffg object W of \mathcal{C} , i.e. we have $e: W \twoheadrightarrow X$ and $m: X \rightarrow W$ with $e \cdot m = \operatorname{id}_X$ in \mathcal{C} . Put

$$w := (W \xrightarrow{e} X \xrightarrow{c} FX \xrightarrow{Fm} FW).$$

Then (W, w) is an F -coalgebra such that e and m are coalgebra homomorphisms:

$$w \cdot m = Fm \cdot c \cdot e \cdot m = Fm \cdot c \quad \text{and} \quad Fe \cdot w = Fe \cdot Fm \cdot c \cdot e = c \cdot e.$$

Thus $m: (X, c) \rightarrow (W, w)$ is a morphism into an object of $\operatorname{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F$ as desired.

Proof of (2). Now suppose we have two coalgebra homomorphisms $f: (X, c) \rightarrow (Y, d)$ and $g: (X, c) \rightarrow (Z, e)$ where X is perfectly presentable and Y and Z are ffg. As in the proof of (1), choose an ffg-coalgebra (W, w) and two coalgebra homomorphisms $e: (W, w) \twoheadrightarrow (X, c)$ and $m: (X, c) \rightarrow (W, w)$ with $e \cdot m = \operatorname{id}$. This yields the following zig-zag relating f and g :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & (X, c) & & \\ & f \swarrow & \downarrow m & \searrow g & \\ (Y, d) & \xleftarrow{f \cdot e} & (W, w) & \xrightarrow{g \cdot e} & (Z, e) \end{array}$$

Proof of Lemma 4.7

(1) We first show that e^\dagger is well-defined: given another ffg-coalgebra $\bar{c}: \bar{C} \rightarrow F\bar{C}$ and a factorization $e = (FX + \bar{c}^\#) \cdot \bar{w}$, we prove

$$(w \blacksquare c)^\# \cdot \text{inl} = (\bar{w} \blacksquare \bar{c})^\# \cdot \text{inl} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Recall that $\varphi F = \text{colim } D$ for the inclusion $D: \text{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F \hookrightarrow \text{Coalg } F$, and thus $FX + \varphi F = \text{colim}(FX + D)$ with colimit cocone injections $FX + c^\#$. Since X is an ffg-object, this sifted colimit is preserved by $\mathcal{C}(X, -)$. Thus, the diagram

$$\hat{D}: \text{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F \rightarrow \text{Set}, \quad (C \xrightarrow{c} FC) \mapsto \mathcal{C}(X, FX + C)$$

has

$$\text{colim } \hat{D} = \mathcal{C}(X, FX + \varphi F)$$

with colimit injections given by postcomposition with $FX + c^\#$. Recall the category $\text{el } \hat{D}$ of elements of \hat{D} : its objects are triples (C, c, w) where $(C, c) \in \text{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F$ and $w \in \hat{D}(C, c)$, i.e. $w: X \rightarrow FX + C$, and a morphism into $(\bar{C}, \bar{c}, \bar{w})$ is a coalgebra homomorphism $h: (C, c) \rightarrow (\bar{C}, \bar{c})$ with $(FX + h) \cdot w = \bar{w}$.

Given two factorizations $(FX + c^\#) \cdot w = e = (FX + \bar{c}^\#) \cdot \bar{w}$, we thus see that the colimit injection $FX + c^\#$ takes the element w to the same value that the colimit injection $FX + \bar{c}^\#$ is taking \bar{w} . This implies that w and \bar{w} lie in the same connected component of $\text{el } \hat{D}$. Therefore it suffices to prove (A.1) under the assumption that a morphism h from w to \bar{w} exists in $\text{el } \hat{D}$: then that equation holds in the whole connected component. Thus, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ & \swarrow w & \searrow \bar{w} \\ FX + C & \xrightarrow{FX+h} & FX + \bar{C} \\ \downarrow FX+c & & \downarrow FX+\bar{c} \\ FX + FC & \xrightarrow{FX+Fh} & FX + F\bar{C} \end{array}$$

It follows that $X + h$ is a coalgebra homomorphism from $w \blacksquare c$ to $\bar{w} \blacksquare \bar{c}$. Indeed, in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X + C & \xrightarrow{[w, \text{inr}]} & FX + C & \xrightarrow{FX+c} & FX + FC & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & F(X + C) \\ \downarrow X+h & & \downarrow FX+h & & \downarrow FX+Fh & & \downarrow F(X+h) \\ X + \bar{C} & \xrightarrow{[\bar{w}, \text{inr}]} & FX + \bar{C} & \xrightarrow{FX+\bar{c}} & FX + F\bar{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & F(X + \bar{C}) \end{array}$$

the left-hand square and the middle one commute by the preceding diagram, and the right-hand square commutes trivially. Since the colimit injections $(-)^{\#}$ form

a compatible family, the following triangle commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X + C & \xrightarrow{X+h} & X + \bar{C} \\
 & \searrow^{(w \blacksquare c)^\#} & \swarrow_{(\bar{w} \blacksquare \bar{c})^\#} \\
 & & \varphi F
 \end{array}$$

Precomposed with inl this yields the desired equation (A.1).

(2) We show that e^\dagger is a solution of e in φF .

(2a) First note that the following triangle commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C & \xrightarrow{c^\#} & \varphi F \\
 \text{inr} \downarrow & \nearrow^{(w \blacksquare c)^\#} & \\
 X + C & &
 \end{array} \tag{A.2}$$

To this end, we just need to verify that inr is a morphism in $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F$ from (C, c) to $(X + C, w \blacksquare c)$, which is established by the commutative diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C & \xrightarrow{c} & & & FC \\
 \text{inr} \downarrow & \searrow^{\text{inr}} & & \swarrow_{\text{inr}} & \downarrow F \text{inr} \\
 & & FX + C & \xrightarrow{FX+c} & FX + FC \\
 & \nearrow^{[w, \text{inr}]} & & \searrow_{\text{can}} & \\
 X + C & \xrightarrow{w \blacksquare c} & & & F(X + C)
 \end{array}$$

(2b) The commutative triangle (A.2) together with $(w \blacksquare c)^\# \cdot \text{inl} = e^\dagger$ yields the following commutative triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FX + FC & \xrightarrow{[Fe^\dagger, Fc^\#]} & F(\varphi F) \\
 \text{can} \downarrow & \nearrow_{F(w \blacksquare c)^\#} & \\
 F(X + C) & &
 \end{array}$$

We conclude that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{e^\dagger} & \varphi F & & \\
 \downarrow w & \searrow \text{inl} & \nearrow (w \blacksquare c)^\# & & \uparrow t \\
 FX + C & & X + C & & \\
 \downarrow FX+c & & \downarrow w \blacksquare c & & \\
 FX + FC & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & F(X + C) & \xrightarrow{F(w \blacksquare c)^\#} & F(\varphi F) \\
 & \searrow [Fe^\dagger, Fc^\#] & & & \\
 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

commutes: the left-hand part follows from the definition of $w \blacksquare c$, the upper part is the definition of e^\dagger , the right-hand part uses that $(w \blacksquare c)^\#$ is a coalgebra homomorphism, and the lower part is the preceding triangle.

We are ready to prove that e^\dagger is a solution of e , which means that the outward part of the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{e^\dagger} & \varphi F & & & & \\
 \downarrow e & \searrow w & \searrow FX+c & \xrightarrow{FX+c} & FX + FC & \xrightarrow{[Fe^\dagger, Fc^\#]} & F(\varphi F) \\
 & & \searrow FX+c^\# & & \downarrow FX+Fc^\# & \nearrow [Fe^\dagger, F(\varphi F)] & \nearrow t \\
 & & & & FX + F(\varphi F) & & \uparrow [t, \varphi F] \\
 FX + \varphi F & \xrightarrow{Fe^\dagger + \varphi F} & F(\varphi F) + \varphi F & & & &
 \end{array}$$

The upper part has just been established. The left-hand part commutes by assumption, the part next to it commutes because $c^\#$ is a coalgebra homomorphism, and the two remaining parts commute trivially.

Proof of Proposition 4.8

Weak functoriality. Suppose that the commutative square below and a morphism $h: Z \rightarrow \varphi F$ are given with X, Y and Z ffg.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + Z \\
 m \downarrow & & \downarrow Fm+Z \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{f} & FY + Z
 \end{array}$$

Since Z is ffg, the morphism h factorizes through some colimit injection $c^\#$ as in the triangle below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & C \\ & \nearrow v_0 & \downarrow c^\# \\ Z & \xrightarrow{h} & \varphi F \end{array}$$

Form the two ffg-equations

$$v = v_0 \bullet e: X \rightarrow FX + C \quad \text{and} \quad w = v_0 \bullet f: Y \rightarrow FY + C$$

and observe that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{v} & FX + C & & \\ & \searrow e & \nearrow FX + v_0 & & \\ & & FX + Z & & \\ & & \downarrow Fm + Z & & \\ & & FY + Z & & \\ & \nearrow f & \searrow FY + v_0 & & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{w} & FY + C & & \end{array}$$

(Note: Vertical arrows are labeled m on the left and Fm+c on the right.)

Consequently, in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & v \blacksquare c & & \\ & & & & \curvearrowright & & \\ X + C & \xrightarrow{[v, \text{inr}]} & FX + C & \xrightarrow{FX+c} & FX + FC & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & F(X + C) \\ \downarrow m+C & & \downarrow Fm+FC & & \downarrow Fm+FC & & \downarrow F(m+c) \\ Y + C & \xrightarrow{[w, \text{inr}]} & FY + C & \xrightarrow{FY+c} & FY + FC & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & F(Y + C) \\ & & & & \curvearrowleft & & \\ & & & & w \blacksquare c & & \end{array}$$

the left-hand square commutes. The other parts are clearly commutative, and thus we see that $m + C$ is a coalgebra homomorphism from $v \blacksquare c$ to $w \blacksquare c$. Therefore

$$(v \blacksquare c)^\# = (w \blacksquare c)^\# \cdot (m + C)$$

which yields the desired equation $(h \bullet f)^\dagger \cdot m = (h \bullet e)^\dagger$, as shown by the commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{(h \bullet e)^\dagger} & \varphi F \\
 \text{inl} \searrow & & \uparrow (v \blacksquare c)^\# \\
 & X + C & \\
 m \downarrow & \downarrow m+C & \\
 & Y + C & \\
 \text{inl} \nearrow & & \downarrow (w \blacksquare c)^\# \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{(h \bullet f)^\dagger} & \varphi F
 \end{array}$$

Compositionality. (1) Suppose that two ffg-equations $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y$ and $f: Y \rightarrow FY + \varphi F$ are given, and factorize f through some colimit injection $FY + c^\#$ of $FY + C$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & FY + C & \\
 v \nearrow & & \downarrow FY+c^\# \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{f} & FY + \varphi F
 \end{array}$$

Then, by the definition of \dagger , we have

$$f^\dagger = (v \blacksquare c)^\# \cdot \text{inl}.$$

This implies that the ffg-equation $f^\dagger \bullet e: X \rightarrow FX + \varphi F$ factorizes as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & f^\dagger \bullet e & & \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + Y & \xrightarrow{FX+f^\dagger} & FX + \varphi F \\
 \text{inl} \bullet e \searrow & & \downarrow FX+\text{inl} & & \nearrow FX+(v \blacksquare c)^\# \\
 & & FX + Y + C & &
 \end{array}$$

Thus, by the definition of \dagger again, the solution $(f^\dagger \bullet e)^\dagger: X \rightarrow \varphi F$ of $f^\dagger \bullet e$ is given by the coproduct injection $\text{inl}: X \rightarrow X + Y + C$ followed by the colimit injection

$$[(\text{inl} \bullet e) \blacksquare (v \blacksquare c)]^\#: X + Y + C \rightarrow \varphi F.$$

By Remark 3.4(3) the last morphism is equal to

$$[e \blacksquare (v \blacksquare c)]^\#,$$

so we get the following commutative triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & X + Y + C & \\
 \text{inl} \nearrow & & \downarrow [e \blacksquare (v \blacksquare c)]^\# \\
 X & \xrightarrow{(f^\dagger \bullet e)^\dagger} \varphi F &
 \end{array}$$

(2) The equation $e \blacksquare f: X + Y \rightarrow F(X + Y) + \varphi F$ factorizes as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & e \blacksquare f & & \\
 & & & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 X + Y & \xrightarrow{[e, \text{inl}]} FX + Y & \xrightarrow{FX+f} FX + FY + \varphi F & \xrightarrow{\text{can} + \varphi F} F(X + Y) + \varphi F & & & \\
 & \searrow FX+v & \uparrow FX+FY+c^\# & & & & \\
 & & FX + FY + C & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow \text{can} + C & & & & \\
 & & F(X + Y) + C & & & & \\
 & \searrow e \blacksquare v & \nearrow F(X+Y)+c^\# & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, by the definition of \dagger , we have the following commutative triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & X + Y + C & \\
 X + \text{inl} \nearrow & & \downarrow [(e \blacksquare v) \blacksquare c]^\# \\
 X + Y & \xrightarrow{(e \blacksquare f)^\dagger} \varphi F &
 \end{array}$$

Precomposing with the coproduct injection $\text{inl}: X \rightarrow X + Y$ proves the desired equality

$$(e \blacksquare f)^\dagger \cdot \text{inl} = [(e \blacksquare v) \blacksquare c]^\# \cdot \text{inl} = (f^\dagger \bullet e)^\dagger.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.10

This is completely analogous to the proof of Lemma 4.2 in [1]. The only small modification is needed at the beginning of the proof as follows:

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ffg}}/A$ be the slice category of all arrows $q: X \rightarrow A$ with X ffg. Since \mathcal{C} is a variety, A is the sifted colimit of the diagram $D_A: \mathcal{C}_{\text{ffg}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ given by $(q: X \rightarrow A) \mapsto X$.

The remainder of the proof is identical.

Proof of Theorem 4.11

Let (A, a, \dagger) be an ffg-Elgot algebra. For the initial object 0 we denote by $i_A: 0 \rightarrow A$ the unique morphism.

(1) We obtain a cocone of the diagram

$$\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F \rightarrow \mathbf{Coalg} F \xrightarrow{U} \mathcal{C},$$

where U is the forgetful functor, as follows: to every ffg-coalgebra $c: C \rightarrow FC$ assign the solution

$$(i_A \bullet c)^\ddagger: C \rightarrow A$$

of the ffg-equation $i_A \bullet c: C \rightarrow FC + A$. Indeed, given a coalgebra homomorphism in $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{c} & FC \\ m \downarrow & & \downarrow Fm \\ C' & \xrightarrow{c'} & FC' \end{array}$$

weak functoriality applied to $h = i_A$ yields the commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & & \\ m \downarrow & \searrow (i_A \bullet c)^\ddagger & \\ C' & \xrightarrow{(i_A \bullet c')^\ddagger} & A \end{array}$$

Since φF is the colimit of the embedding $\mathbf{Coalg}_{\text{ffg}} F \rightarrow \mathbf{Coalg} F$ and since U preserves colimits, there exists a unique morphism $h: \varphi F \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} such that the following triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & & \\ c^\# \downarrow & \searrow (i_A \bullet c)^\ddagger & \\ \varphi F & \xrightarrow[h]{} & A \end{array}$$

commute for all ffg-coalgebras $c: C \rightarrow FC$.

(2) We prove that h is solution-preserving. Given an ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + \varphi F$, factorize e through one of the colimit injections $FX + c^\#$ of $FX + \varphi F$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & FX + C & \\ & \nearrow v & \downarrow FX + c^\# \\ X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + \varphi F \end{array}$$

Since $e = c^\# \bullet v$, Remark 3.4(1) and the definition of h yield

$$(h \bullet e)^\ddagger = [h \bullet (c^\# \bullet v)]^\ddagger = [(h \cdot c^\#) \bullet v]^\ddagger = [(i_A \bullet c)^\ddagger \bullet v]^\ddagger.$$

The last morphism is, due to compositionality, equal to

$$[v \blacksquare (i_A \bullet c)]^\ddagger \cdot \text{inl}.$$

Thus, it remains to verify that $h \cdot e^\dagger$ is the same morphism. From $e = c^\# \bullet v$ the definition of \dagger yields $e^\dagger = (v \blacksquare c)^\# \cdot \text{inl}$ and we get

$$h \cdot e^\dagger = h \cdot (v \blacksquare c)^\# \cdot \text{inl} = [i_A \bullet (v \blacksquare c)]^\dagger \cdot \text{inl}$$

which by Remark 3.4(2) shows that

$$h \cdot e^\dagger = [v \blacksquare (i_A \bullet c)]^\dagger \cdot \text{inl},$$

as desired.

(3) It remains to prove the uniqueness of h . Thus suppose that another solution-preserving morphism $g: \varphi F \rightarrow A$ is given. It sufficient to prove

$$g \cdot c^\# = h \cdot c^\# \quad \text{for all ffg-coalgebras } c: C \rightarrow FC.$$

Form the ffg-equation $i_{\varphi F} \bullet c = \text{inl} \cdot c: C \rightarrow FC + \varphi F$. Then it is easy to verify that the left coproduct injection $\text{inl}: C \rightarrow C + C$ is a coalgebra homomorphism from (C, c) to $(C + C, \bar{c})$ where

$$\bar{c} = (\text{inl} \cdot c) \blacksquare c.$$

Therefore, the compatibility of the colimit injections $(-)^\#$ yields

$$c^\# = \bar{c}^\# \cdot \text{inl}.$$

Now $i_{\varphi F} \bullet c$ factorizes as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & FC + C \\ & \nearrow \text{inl} \cdot c & \downarrow FC + c^\# \\ C & \xrightarrow{i_{\varphi F} \bullet c} & FC + \varphi F \end{array}$$

Therefore the definition of \dagger yields

$$(i_{\varphi F} \bullet c)^\dagger = [(\text{inl} \cdot c) \blacksquare c]^\# \cdot \text{inl} = \bar{c}^\# \cdot \text{inl} = c^\#.$$

Since g preserves solutions, we get

$$g \cdot c^\# = g \cdot (i_{\varphi F} \bullet c)^\dagger = [g \bullet (i_{\varphi F} \bullet c)]^\dagger.$$

Then Remark 3.4(1) yields, since $g \cdot i_{\varphi F} = i_A: 0 \rightarrow A$, that

$$g \cdot c^\# = (i_A \bullet c)^\dagger = h \cdot c^\#,$$

as required. This concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 4.12

We use the following notation:

Notation A.1. 1. Given an ffg-Elgot algebra (A, a, \dagger) for F , we define a solution operator \ddagger w.r.t. $F(-) + Y$ as follows. For any ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y + A$, let

$$e_h \equiv X \xrightarrow{e} FX + Y + A \xrightarrow{FX+[h,A]} FX + A$$

and put

$$e^\ddagger := e_h^\dagger.$$

2. Conversely, given an ffg-Elgot algebra $(A, [a, h], \ddagger)$ for $F(-) + Y$, we define a solution operator \dagger w.r.t. F as follows. For any ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$, let

$$\bar{e} \equiv X \xrightarrow{e} FX + A \xrightarrow{\text{inl}+A} FX + Y + A$$

and put

$$e^\dagger := \bar{e}^\ddagger.$$

We will show that the constructions $\dagger \mapsto \ddagger$ and $\ddagger \mapsto \dagger$ are mutually inverse and yield the desired bijective correspondence.

A. The case $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{ffg}}$

Suppose that Y is an ffg object.

(1) We prove that $(A, [a, h], \ddagger)$ is an ffg-Elgot algebra whenever (A, a, \dagger) is.

(1a) Given an ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y + A$, then e^\ddagger is a solution, as shown by the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{e^\ddagger=e_h^\dagger} & & & A \\
 \searrow^{e_h} & & & & \nearrow^{[a,A]} \\
 & FX + A & \xrightarrow{Fe_h^\dagger+A} & FA + A & \\
 \downarrow^e & \nearrow^{FX+[h,A]} & & \nwarrow^{FA+[h,A]} & \downarrow^{[[a,h],A]} \\
 FX + Y + A & \xrightarrow{Fe^\ddagger+Y+A} & & & FA + Y + A
 \end{array}$$

(1b) \ddagger is weakly functorial. Suppose that a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + Y + Z \\
 m \downarrow & & \downarrow Fm+Y+Z \\
 X' & \xrightarrow{f} & FX' + Y + Z
 \end{array}$$

and a morphism $g: Z \rightarrow A$ are given with X , X' and Z ffg. We need to prove

$$(g \bullet e)^\dagger = (g \bullet f)^\dagger \cdot m.$$

From the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + Y + Z & \xrightarrow{FX+Y+g} & FX + Y + A & \xrightarrow{FX+[h,A]} & FX + A \\ & & \downarrow FX+[h,g] & & & \nearrow & \\ & & FX + A & & & & \end{array}$$

we deduce

$$(g \bullet e)_h = [h, g] \bullet e.$$

Here, by abuse of notation, \bullet is used both for F and $F(-) + Y$. Analogously,

$$(g \bullet f)_h = [h, g] \bullet f.$$

Since \dagger is weakly functorial, we get

$$([h, g] \bullet e)^\dagger = ([h, g] \bullet f)^\dagger \cdot m$$

and therefore

$$(g \bullet e)^\dagger = (g \bullet e)_h^\dagger = ([h, g] \bullet e)^\dagger = ([h, g] \bullet f)^\dagger \cdot m = (g \bullet f)_h^\dagger \cdot m = (g \bullet f)^\dagger \cdot m.$$

(1c) \ddagger is compositional. Given ffg-equations for $F(-) + Y$

$$e: X \rightarrow FX + Y + Z \quad \text{and} \quad f: Z \rightarrow FZ + Y + A,$$

we are to prove

$$(f^\ddagger \bullet e)^\ddagger = (e \blacksquare f)^\ddagger \cdot \text{inl}.$$

Express A as a sifted colimit $a_i: A_i \rightarrow A$ ($i \in I$) of ffg objects. Then also the morphisms $FZ + Y + a_i: FZ + Y + A_i \rightarrow FZ + Y + A$ form a sifted colimit cocone, and since X is ffg, f factorizes through one of them:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{f} & FZ + Y + A \\ & \searrow f_0 & \uparrow FZ+Y+a_i \\ & & FZ + Y + A_i \end{array}$$

Define ffg-equations \hat{f} and \hat{f}_0 by the commutative diagrams below (where inm denotes the middle coproduct injection):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{h} & A \\ \text{inl} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inr} \\ Y + Z & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & F(Y + Z) + A \\ \text{inr} \uparrow & & \uparrow F\text{inr}+[h,A] \\ Z & \xrightarrow{f} & FZ + Y + A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & & \\ \text{inl} \downarrow & \searrow \text{inm} & \\ Y + Z & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}_0} & F(Y + Z) + Y + A_i \\ \text{inr} \uparrow & & \uparrow F\text{inr}+Y+A_i \\ Z & \xrightarrow{f_0} & FZ + Y + A_i \end{array}$$

Since \dagger is compositional, we have

$$(e \blacksquare \hat{f})^\dagger \cdot \text{inl} = (\hat{f}^\dagger \bullet e)^\dagger.$$

Observe that $[\text{inl}, \text{inr}]: X + Z \rightarrow X + Y + Z$ is a coalgebra homomorphism from $e \blacksquare f_0$ to $e \blacksquare \hat{f}_0$. (We again use \blacksquare for both F and $F(-) + Y$.) This is shown by the commutative diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & e \blacksquare f_0 & & \\
 & & & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 X + Z & \xrightarrow{[e, \text{inr}]} & FX + Y + Z & \xrightarrow{FX+Y+f_0} & FX + Y + FZ + Y + A_i & \xrightarrow{\text{can}+A_i} & F(X + Z) + Y + A_i \\
 \downarrow [\text{inl}, \text{inr}] & & \parallel & & & & \downarrow F[\text{inl}, \text{inr}] + Y + A_i \\
 X + Y + Z & \xrightarrow{[e, \text{inr}]} & FX + Y + Z & \xrightarrow{FX+f_0} & FX + F(Y + Z) + Y + A_i & \xrightarrow{\text{can}+Y+A_i} & F(X + Y + Z) + Y + A_i \\
 & & & & \curvearrowleft & & \\
 & & & & e \blacksquare \hat{f}_0 & &
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, we have

$$[h, a_i] \bullet (e \blacksquare f_0) = (e \blacksquare f)_h$$

as shown by the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [h, a_i] \bullet (e \blacksquare f_0) &= ([h, A] \cdot (Y + a_i)) \bullet (e \blacksquare f_0) \\
 &= [h, A] \bullet ((Y + a_i) \bullet (e \blacksquare f_0)) && \text{Remark 3.4(1)} \\
 &= [h, A] \bullet (e \blacksquare ((Y + a_i) \bullet f_0)) && \text{Remark 3.4(2)} \\
 &= [h, A] \bullet (e \blacksquare f) && \text{def. } f_0 \\
 &= (e \blacksquare f)_h && \text{def. } (-)_h.
 \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$[h, a_i] \bullet (e \blacksquare \hat{f}_0) = e \blacksquare \hat{f}.$$

Since \dagger is weakly functorial, we get

$$(e \blacksquare f)_h^\dagger = (e \blacksquare \hat{f})^\dagger \cdot [\text{inl}, \text{inr}]. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

We apply the weak functoriality of \dagger also to the lower square of the diagram defining \hat{f}_0 and to $[h, a_i]$ in place of h to get

$$([h, a_i] \bullet f_0)^\dagger = ([h, a_i] \bullet \hat{f}_0)^\dagger \cdot \text{inr} = \hat{f}^\dagger \cdot \text{inr}.$$

This proves

$$\hat{f}^\dagger \cdot \text{inr} = f_h^\dagger$$

since, using Remark 3.4(2),

$$\hat{f}^\dagger \cdot \text{inr} = ([h, a_i] \bullet f_0)^\dagger = ([h, A] \bullet ((Y + a_i) \bullet f_0))^\dagger = ([h, A] \bullet f)^\dagger = f_h^\dagger.$$

We conclude

$$\hat{f}^\dagger = [h, f_h^\dagger]: Y + Z \rightarrow A; \quad (\text{A.4})$$

since the left-hand component $\hat{f}^\dagger \cdot \text{inl} = h$ follows from the fact that \hat{f}^\dagger is a solution of \hat{f} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y + Z & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}^\dagger} & A \\
 \downarrow \hat{f} & \swarrow \text{inl} & \uparrow [a, A] \\
 & Y & \\
 & \downarrow h & \\
 & A & \\
 & \swarrow \text{inr} & \\
 F(Y + Z) + A & \xrightarrow{F\hat{f}^\dagger + A} & FA + A
 \end{array}$$

Thus, we conclude with the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f^\ddagger \bullet e)^\ddagger &= (f_h^\dagger \bullet e)_h^\dagger && \text{def. } \ddagger \\
 &= ([h, f_h^\dagger] \bullet e)^\dagger && \text{def. } (-)_h \\
 &= (\hat{f}^\dagger \bullet e)^\dagger && \text{(A.4)} \\
 &= (e \blacksquare \hat{f})^\dagger \cdot \text{inl} && \text{compositionality of } \dagger \\
 &= (e \blacksquare f)_h^\dagger \cdot \text{inl} && \text{(A.3)} \\
 &= (e \blacksquare f)^\ddagger \cdot \text{inl} && \text{def. } \ddagger
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) For every ffg-Elgot algebra $(A, [a, h]^\ddagger)$ for $F(-) + Y$, we prove that (A, a, \dagger) with $e^\dagger := \bar{e}^\ddagger$ is an ffg-Elgot algebra for F .

(2a) e^\dagger is a solution of $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{e^\dagger = \bar{e}^\ddagger} & & & A \\
 \searrow \bar{e} & & & & \swarrow [[a, h], A] \\
 & FX + Y + A & \xrightarrow{F\bar{e}^\ddagger + Y + A} & FA + Y + A & \\
 \downarrow e & \swarrow F'X + \text{inr} & & \swarrow [\text{inl}, \text{inr}] & \uparrow [a, A] \\
 FX + A & \xrightarrow{Fe^\dagger + A} & & & FA + A
 \end{array}$$

(2b) \dagger is weakly functorial. Given a coalgebra homomorphism m for $F(-) + Z$ from e to m :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + Z & \xrightarrow{[\text{inl}, \text{inr}]} & FX + Y + Z \\
 m \downarrow & & \downarrow Fm + Z & & \downarrow Fm + Y + Z \\
 X' & \xrightarrow{f} & FX' + Z & \xrightarrow{[\text{inl}, \text{inl}]} & FX' + Y + Z
 \end{array}$$

and a morphism $h: Z \rightarrow A$ with X , X' and Z ffg, we need to prove

$$(h \bullet e)^\dagger = (h \bullet f)^\dagger \cdot m.$$

From the above diagram we see that m is also a coalgebra homomorphism for $F(-) + Y + Z$ from \bar{e} to \bar{f} , so weak functoriality of \ddagger yields

$$(h \bullet \bar{e})^\ddagger = (h \bullet \bar{f})^\ddagger \cdot m.$$

This implies the desired equality since

$$\overline{h \bullet e} = h \bullet \bar{e} \tag{A.5}$$

(and analogously for f) due to the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \overline{h \bullet e} & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{h \bullet e} & FX + A & \xrightarrow{[\text{inl}, \text{inr}]} & FX + Y + A \\
 & \searrow e & \uparrow FX+h & & \uparrow \\
 & & FX + Z & & \\
 & \searrow \bar{e} & \downarrow [\text{inl}, \text{inr}] & & \uparrow FX+Y+h \\
 & & FX + Y + Z & &
 \end{array}$$

(2c) \ddagger is compositional. Given ffg-equations

$$e: X \rightarrow FX + Z \quad \text{and} \quad f: Z \rightarrow FZ + A,$$

we need to prove

$$(f^\ddagger \bullet e)^\ddagger = (e \blacksquare f)^\ddagger \cdot \text{inl}.$$

We first observe that

$$\bar{e} \blacksquare \bar{f} = \overline{e \blacksquare f} \tag{A.6}$$

This follows from the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X + Z & \xrightarrow{[\text{e}, \text{inr}]} & FX + Z & \xrightarrow{[\text{inl}, \text{inr}]} & FX + Y + Z & \xrightarrow{FX+Y+f} & FX + Y + FZ + A & \xrightarrow{FX+Y+[\text{inl}, \text{inr}]} & FX + Y + FZ + Y + A \\
 & & \searrow FX+f & & & \nearrow [\text{inl}, \text{inr}]+A & & & \\
 & & & & FX + FZ + A & & & & \\
 & & \searrow e \blacksquare f & & \downarrow \text{can}+A & & & & \\
 & & & & F(X + Z) + A & & & & \\
 & & \searrow \overline{e \blacksquare f} & & \downarrow [\text{inl}, \text{inr}] & & \nearrow \text{can}+A & & \\
 & & & & F(X + Z) + Y + A & & & &
 \end{array}$$

where $\text{can}: FX + Y + FZ + Y \rightarrow F(X + Z) + Y$ is the obvious canonical morphism. The upper path is the composite

$$[FY + Y + \bar{f}] \cdot [\bar{e}, \text{inr}]: X + Z \rightarrow FX + Y + FZ + Y + A$$

which, when composed with $\text{can} + A$, yields $\overline{e \blacksquare f}$. The proof of compositionality now easily follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (f^\dagger \bullet e)^\dagger &= \left(\overline{f^\dagger \bullet e} \right)^\ddagger && \text{def. } \dagger \\ &= (\bar{f}^\dagger \bullet \bar{e})^\ddagger && \text{by (A.5)} \\ &= (\bar{e} \blacksquare \bar{f})^\dagger \cdot \text{inl} && \ddagger \text{ compositional} \\ &= (\overline{e \blacksquare f})^\dagger \cdot \text{inl} && \text{by (A.6)} \\ &= (e \blacksquare f)^\dagger \cdot \text{inl} && \text{def. } \dagger \end{aligned}$$

(3) We prove that the above passages (1) and (2) are mutually inverse.

(3a) For every ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$ for F , we have

$$\bar{e}_h = e,$$

as shown by the commutative diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \bar{e} & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + A & \xrightarrow{\text{inl}+A} & FX + Y + A \\ & \searrow & \parallel & \searrow & \downarrow FX+[h,A] \\ & & & & FX + A \\ & \searrow & e & \searrow & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

(3b) For every ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y + A$ we prove that $\overline{(e_h)^\dagger} = e^\ddagger$. (We

do *not* claim that $\overline{(e_h)} = e$.) Express A as a sifted colimit $a_i: A_j \rightarrow A$ ($j \in J$) of ffg objects. Then also the morphisms $FX + Y + a_i: FX + Y + A_j \rightarrow FX + Y + A$ form a sifted colimit cocone, and since X is ffg, there exists $j \in J$ and a morphism e_0 such that the following triangle commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + Y + A \\ & \searrow e_0 & \uparrow FX+Y+a_j \\ & & FX + Y + A_j \end{array}$$

Consider the ffg-equation

$$f \equiv Y + A_j \xrightarrow{\text{inr}} F(Y + A_j) + Y + A_j \xrightarrow{F(Y+A_j)+Y+a_j} F(Y + A_j) + Y + A.$$

(Note that $f = a_j \bullet f_0$ for $f_0 = (F(Y + A_j) + Y + A) \cdot \text{inr}$.) We have that

$$f^\ddagger = Y + A_j \xrightarrow{Y+a_j} Y + A \xrightarrow{[h,A]} A$$

as demonstrated by the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y + A_j & \xrightarrow{f^\ddagger} & A \\
 \downarrow Y+A_j & \nearrow [h,A] & \uparrow [[a,h],A] \\
 Y + A & & \\
 \downarrow \text{inr} & \searrow \text{inr} & \\
 F(Y + A_j) + Y + A & \xrightarrow{Ff^\ddagger+Y+A} & FA + Y + A
 \end{array}$$

We also have that

$$\overline{(e_h)} = f^\ddagger \bullet e'_0 \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where

$$e'_0 \equiv X \xrightarrow{e_0} FX + Y + A_j \xrightarrow{\text{inl}+Y+A_j} FX + Y + Y + A_j.$$

Indeed, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{e_0} & FX + Y + A_j & \xrightarrow{\text{inl}+Y+A_j} & FX + Y + Y + A_j \\
 & \searrow e & \downarrow FX+Y+a_j & & \downarrow FX+Y+Y+a_j \\
 & & FX + Y + A & \xrightarrow{\text{inl}+Y+A} & FX + Y + Y + A \\
 & \searrow e_h & \downarrow FX+[h,A] & & \downarrow FX+Y+[h,A] \\
 & & FX + A & \xrightarrow{\text{inl}+A} & FX + Y + A \\
 & \searrow \overline{(e_h)} & & & \swarrow FX+Y+f^\ddagger
 \end{array}$$

Finally, we have a coalgebra homomorphism inl from e_0 to $e'_0 \blacksquare f_0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\text{inl}} & X + Y + A_j \\
\downarrow e_0 & \searrow e_0 & \downarrow [e_0, \text{inr}] \\
FX + Y + A_j & & FX + Y + A_j \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{inl}+Y+A_j} & \downarrow \text{inl}+Y+A_j \\
& & FX + Y + Y + A_j \\
& & \downarrow FX+Y+f_0 \\
& & FX + Y + F(Y + A_j) + Y + A_j \\
& & \downarrow \text{can}+A_j \\
& & F(X + Y + A_j) + Y + A_j \\
& \xrightarrow{F\text{inl}+Y+A_j} & \\
FX + Y + A_j & &
\end{array}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
e^\ddagger &= (a_j \bullet e_0)^\ddagger \\
&= (a_j \bullet (e'_0 \blacksquare f_0))^\ddagger \cdot \text{inl} && \ddagger \text{ weakly functorial} \\
&= (e'_0 \blacksquare (a_j \bullet f_0))^\ddagger \cdot \text{inl} && \text{Remark 3.4(2)} \\
&= (e'_0 \blacksquare f)^\ddagger \cdot \text{inl} && \text{def. } f_0 \\
&= (f^\ddagger \bullet e'_0)^\ddagger && \ddagger \text{ compositional} \\
&= \overline{(e_h)}^\ddagger && \text{by (A.7).}
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof.

B. The General Case

Now assume that Y is an arbitrary free object of \mathcal{C} . We shall reduce this case to the situation considered in part A of the proof, using filtered colimits.

Notation A.2. Fix an F -algebra $a: FA \rightarrow A$ and a morphism $h: Y \rightarrow A$. Express the free object Y as a filtered colimit

$$y_i: Y_i \rightarrow Y \quad (i \in I)$$

of ffg objects.

As an auxiliary concept, we introduce the following:

Definition A.3. A compatible family of ffg-Elgot algebras *associates to every* $i \in I$ an Elgot algebra

$$(A, [a, h_i], (-)^{\ddagger, i}) \tag{A.8}$$

for the functor $F(-) + Y_i$ such that for every connecting morphism $y_{ij}: Y_i \rightarrow Y_j$ and every ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y_i + A$, one has

$$[(FX + y_{ij} + A) \cdot e]^{\dagger, j} = e^{\dagger, i}.$$

To establish Theorem 4.12, we prove the following more refined result:

Theorem A.4. *There is a bijective correspondence between*

- (i) solution operations \dagger such that (A, a, \dagger) is an ffg-Elgot algebra for F ;
- (ii) families of solution operations $(-)^{\dagger, i}$ such that $(A, [a, h_i], (-)^{\dagger, i})$ ($i \in I$) is a compatible family of ffg-Elgot algebras;
- (iii) solution operations \ddagger such that $(A, [a, h], \ddagger)$ is an ffg-Elgot algebra for $F(-) + Y$.

The proof is split into four lemmas.

Lemma A.5. *Let (A, a, \dagger) be an ffg-Elgot algebra. Every cocone $h_i: Y_i \rightarrow A$ ($i \in I$) induces a compatible family of ffg-Elgot algebras $(A, [a, h_i], (-)^{\dagger, i})$ with solution operations given by*

$$e^{\dagger, i} \equiv (X \xrightarrow{e} FX + Y_i + A \xrightarrow{FX+[h_i, A]} FX + A)^{\dagger}.$$

Proof. By part A.(1) of the proof, $(A, [a, h_i], (-)^{\dagger, i})$ is an ffg-Elgot algebra for every $i \in I$. For compatibility, let $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y_i + A$ be an ffg-equation and let $y_{ij}: Y_i \rightarrow Y_j$ be a connecting morphism. Then the triangle below commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FX + Y_i + A & \xrightarrow{FX+[h_i, A]} & FX + A \\ \downarrow FX+y_{ij}+A & \nearrow FX+[h_j, A] & \\ FX + Y_j + A & & \end{array}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [(FX + y_{ij} + A) \cdot e]^{\dagger, j} &= [(FX + [h_j, A]) \cdot (FX + y_{ij} + A) \cdot e]^{\dagger} \\ &= [(FX + [h_i, A]) \cdot e]^{\dagger} \\ &= e^{\dagger, i} \end{aligned}$$

Here the first equation is the definition of $(-)^{\dagger, j}$, the second one follows from the above commutative triangle, and the last one is the definition of $(-)^{\dagger, i}$. \square

Lemma A.6. *Suppose that a compatible family (A.8) of ffg-Elgot algebras is given. Then (A, a, \dagger) with*

$$e^{\dagger} = (X \xrightarrow{e} FX + A \xrightarrow{FX+\text{inr}} FX + Y_i + A)^{\dagger, i}$$

is an ffg-Elgot algebra with $(-)^{\dagger}$ independent of the choice of i , and the morphisms h_i ($i \in I$) form a cocone.

Proof. (1) By part A.(2) of the proof, we know that (A, a, \dagger) is an ffg-Elgot algebra. Let us verify that \dagger is independent of the choice of i . Given $i, j \in I$, choose $k \in I$ and connecting morphisms $y_{ik}: Y_i \rightarrow Y_k$ and $y_{jk}: Y_j \rightarrow Y_k$, using that the Y_i 's form a filtered diagram (see Notation A.2). Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & FX + Y_i + A & & \\
 & \nearrow^{FX+\text{inr}} & & \downarrow^{FX+y_{ik}+A} & \\
 FX + A & \xrightarrow{FX+\text{inr}} & FX + Y_k + A & & \\
 & \searrow_{FX+\text{inr}} & & \uparrow^{FX+y_{jk}+A} & \\
 & & FX + Y_j + A & &
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, by compatibility of the family (A.8), one has

$$(X \xrightarrow{e} FX + A \xrightarrow{FX+\text{inr}} FX + Y_i + A)^{\dagger, i} = (X \xrightarrow{e} FX + A \xrightarrow{FX+\text{inr}} FX + Y_j + A)^{\dagger, j},$$

as required.

(2) Next, we show that for every $i \in I$ the ffg-equation $Y_i \xrightarrow{\text{inm}} FY_i + Y_i + A$ has the solution $\text{inm}^{\dagger, i} = h_i$. This is shown by the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y_i & \xrightarrow{\text{inm}^{\dagger, i}} & A \\
 \text{inm} \downarrow & \searrow^{\text{inm}} & \uparrow^{[a, h_i, A]} \\
 FY_i + Y_i + A & \xrightarrow{F\text{inm}^{\dagger, i} + Y_i + A} & FA + Y_i + A
 \end{array}$$

Here the outward square commutes by the definition of a solution, and the lower triangle commutes trivially. Therefore the upper triangle commutes, showing that $h_i = \text{inm}^{\dagger, i}$.

(3) Finally, we prove that the h_i 's form a cocone. Suppose that a connecting morphism $y_{ij}: Y_i \rightarrow Y_j$ is given, and consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & & FY_i + Y_i + A \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow^{FY_i + y_{ij} + A} \\
 Y_i & \xrightarrow{\text{inm}} & FY_i + Y_i + 0 & \xrightarrow{FY_i + y_{ij} + 0} & FY_i + Y_j + 0 & \xrightarrow{FY_i + Y_j + !A} & FY_i + Y_j + A \\
 & \searrow^{y_{ij}} & & \downarrow^{Fy_{ij} + Y_j + 0} & & & \downarrow^{Fy_{ij} + Y_j + A} \\
 Y_j & \xrightarrow{\text{inm}} & FY_j + Y_j + 0 & \xrightarrow{FY_j + Y_j + !A} & FY_j + Y_j + A & & \\
 & \searrow & & & & & \\
 & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

inm

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_i &= \text{inm}^{\dagger,i} \\
 &= [(FY_i + Y_j + !_A) \cdot (FY_i + y_{ij} + 0) \cdot \text{inm}]^{\dagger,j} \\
 &= [(FY_j + Y_j + !_A) \cdot \text{inm}]^{\dagger,j} \cdot y_{ij} \\
 &= \text{inm}^{\dagger,j} \cdot y_{ij} \\
 &= h_j \cdot y_{ij}
 \end{aligned}$$

Here the first equation follows from part (2) above, the second one follows from the upper part of the above diagram and compatibility, the third one follows from the central part of the diagram via weak functoriality of $(-)^{\dagger,j}$, the fourth one is the lower part of the diagram, and the last equation is again part (2). \square

Lemma A.7. *Every ffg-Elgot algebra $(A, [a, h], \dagger)$ for $F(-) + Y$ induces a compatible family of ffg-Elgot algebras $(A, [a, h_i], (-)^{\dagger,i})$ ($i \in I$) where $h_i = h \cdot y_i$ and the solution operations are given by*

$$e^{\dagger,i} = (X \xrightarrow{e} FX + Y_i + A \xrightarrow{FX+y_i+A} FX + Y + A)^{\dagger}.$$

Proof. We first show that $(A, [a, h_i], (-)^{\dagger,i})$ is an ffg-Elgot algebra for every $i \in I$. In the following, for any ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y_i + A$, we put

$$\bar{e} \equiv (X \xrightarrow{e} FX + Y_i + A \xrightarrow{FX+y_i+A} FX + Y + A).$$

Solution. For an ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y_i + A$, consider the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{e^{\dagger,i}} & & & A \\
 & \searrow \bar{e} & & & \nearrow [a, h, A] \\
 & & FX + Y + A & \xrightarrow{F\bar{e}^{\dagger} + Y + A} & FA + Y + A \\
 & & \nearrow FX+y_i+A & & \nwarrow FA+y_i+A \\
 FX + Y_i + A & \xrightarrow{F e^{\dagger,i} + Y_i + A} & & & FA + Y_i + A \\
 & & & & \uparrow [a, h_i, A]
 \end{array}$$

The upper part commutes because $e^{\dagger,i} = \bar{e}^{\dagger}$ is the solution of \bar{e} , and the other three parts commute trivially. Therefore the outward part commutes, showing that $e^{\dagger,i}$ is a solution of e .

Weak functoriality. Suppose that two ffg-equations e, \bar{e} and morphisms g and f as in the diagram below are given such the left-hand square commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + Y_i + Z & \xrightarrow{FX+Y_i+f} & FX + Y_i + A \\
\downarrow g & & \downarrow Fg+Y_i+Z & \swarrow FX+y_i+Z & \searrow FX+y_i+A \\
& & & FX + Y + Z & \xrightarrow{FX+Y+f} & FX + Y + A \\
& & & \downarrow Fg+Y+Z & & \downarrow Fg+Y+A \\
& & & F\bar{X} + Y + Z & \xrightarrow{F\bar{X}+Y+f} & F\bar{X} + Y + A \\
& & & \swarrow F\bar{X}+y_i+Z & \searrow F\bar{X}+y_i+A & \\
\bar{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & F\bar{X} + Y_i + Z & \xrightarrow{F\bar{X}+Y_i+f} & F\bar{X} + Y_i + A \\
& & & & \downarrow Fg+Y+A & \\
& & & & & F\bar{X} + Y_i + A
\end{array}$$

Then the whole diagram is commutative, and thus weak functoriality of $(-)^{\dagger,i}$ follows from that of \dagger .

Compositionality. Using the definition of $(-)^{\dagger,i}$, one easily verifies that for any two ffg-equations $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y_i + Z$ and $f: Z \rightarrow FZ + Y_i + A$ one has

$$(f \blacksquare e)^{\dagger,i} = (\bar{f} \blacksquare \bar{e})^{\dagger} \quad \text{and} \quad (f^{\dagger,i} \bullet e)^{\dagger,i} = (\bar{f}^{\dagger} \bullet \bar{e})^{\dagger}.$$

Then compositionality of \dagger implies

$$(f \blacksquare e)^{\dagger,i} \cdot \text{inl} = (\bar{f} \blacksquare \bar{e})^{\dagger} \cdot \text{inl} = (\bar{f}^{\dagger} \bullet \bar{e})^{\dagger} = (f^{\dagger,i} \bullet e)^{\dagger,i}.$$

To prove that the given family of ffg-Elgot algebras is compatible, let $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y_i \rightarrow A$ be an ffg-equation and $y_{ij}: Y_i \rightarrow Y_j$ a connecting morphism. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
[(FX + y_{ij} + A) \cdot e]^{\dagger,j} &= [(FX + y_j + A) \cdot (FX + y_{ij} + A) \cdot e]^{\dagger} \\
&= [(FX + y_i + A) \cdot e]^{\dagger} \\
&= e^{\dagger,i}
\end{aligned}$$

Here the first equation uses the definition of $(-)^{\dagger,j}$, the second one uses that y_{ij} is a connecting morphism, and the last equation uses the definition of $(-)^{\dagger,i}$ \square

Remark A.8. By Lemma A.6, for any compatible family (A.8) of ffg-Elgot algebras, the morphisms $h_i: Y_i \rightarrow A$ form a cocone and thus induce a unique morphism $h: Y \rightarrow A$ with $h_i = h \cdot y_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Lemma A.9. *Every compatible family (A.8) of ffg-Elgot algebras induces an ffg-Elgot algebra $(A, [a, h], \dagger)$ with \dagger defined as follows: given an ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y + A$, choose a factorization*

$$e = (X \xrightarrow{e_i} FX + Y_i + A \xrightarrow{FX+y_i+A} FX + Y + A)$$

with $i \in I$, and put $e^{\dagger} := e_i^{\dagger,i}$.

Proof. We first observe that the factorization of e exists because $(FX + Y_i + A \xrightarrow{FX+y_i+A} FX + Y + A)_{i \in I}$ is a filtered colimit cocone and X is finitely presentable. Let us show that \dagger well-defined, i.e. independent of the choice of the factorization. To see this, suppose that another factorization $e = (FX + y_j + A) \cdot e_j$ is given. By filteredness in Notation A.2, there exists $k \in I$ and connecting morphisms $y_{ik}: Y_i \rightarrow Y_k$ and $y_{jk}: Y_j \rightarrow Y_k$ with $e_k := (FX + y_{ik} + A) \cdot e_i = (FX + y_{jk} + A) \cdot e_j$. Then compatibility of the given family of ffg-Elgot algebras shows that

$$e_i^{\dagger,i} = e_k^{\dagger,k} = e_j^{\dagger,j},$$

as required.

It remains to show that $(A, [a, h], \dagger)$ is an ffg-Elgot algebra.

Solution. Let $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y + A$ be an ffg-equation and factorize $e = (FX + y_i + A) \cdot e_i$ with $i \in I$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & & & & A \\
 & \searrow e & & & \nearrow [a, h, A] \\
 & & FX + Y + A & \xrightarrow{Fe^{\dagger} + Y + A} & FA + Y + A \\
 & \nearrow FX + y_i + A & & & \nwarrow FA + y_i + A \\
 FX + Y_i + A & & & \xrightarrow{Fe^{\dagger} + Y_i + A} & FA + Y_i + A \\
 & & & & \nearrow [a, h_i, A]
 \end{array}$$

The outward square commutes because $e^{\dagger} = e_i^{\dagger,i}$ and $e_i^{\dagger,i}$ is a solution of e_i . The lower part and the two triangles commute trivially. Therefore the upper part commutes, showing that e^{\dagger} is a solution of e .

Weak functoriality. Suppose that morphisms e, \bar{e}, f, g are given such that X, \bar{X}, Z are ffg and the left-hand square in the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & FX + Y_i + Z & \xrightarrow{FX + Y_i + f} & FX + Y_i + A \\
 & \nearrow e_i & \downarrow FX + y_i + Z & & \downarrow FX + y_i + A \\
 X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + Y + Z & \xrightarrow{FX + Y + f} & FX + Y + A \\
 \downarrow g & & \downarrow Fg + Y + Z & & \downarrow Fg + Y + A \\
 \bar{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & F\bar{X} + Y + Z & \xrightarrow{F\bar{X} + Y + f} & F\bar{X} + Y + A \\
 & \nwarrow \bar{e}_i & \uparrow F\bar{X} + y_i + Z & & \uparrow F\bar{X} + y_i + A \\
 & & F\bar{X} + Y_i + Z & \xrightarrow{F\bar{X} + Y_i + f} & F\bar{X} + Y_i + A
 \end{array}$$

Factorize $e = (FX + y_i + Z) \cdot e_i$ and $\bar{e} = (F\bar{X} + y_i + Z) \cdot \bar{e}_i$ with $i \in I$; we may choose the same i for both e and \bar{e} by filteredness. From the commutative

diagram above it follows that the two morphisms

$$(Fg + Y_i + Z) \cdot e_i, \bar{e}_i \cdot g: X \rightarrow F\bar{X} + Y_i + Z$$

are merged by the colimit injection $F\bar{X} + y_i + Z$, and thus some connecting morphism $F\bar{X} + y_{ij} + Z$ with $j \in I$ merges them, too. Put

$$e_j := (FX + y_{ij} + Z) \cdot e_i \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{e}_j := (F\bar{X} + y_{ij} + Z) \cdot \bar{e}_i.$$

Then the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e_j} & FX + Y_j + Z \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow Fg + Y_j + Z \\ \bar{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}_j} & F\bar{X} + Y_j + Z \end{array}$$

Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} [(FX + Y + f) \cdot e]^\ddagger &= [(FX + Y_i + f) \cdot e_i]^\ddagger,^i \\ &= [(FX + y_{ij} + A) \cdot (FX + Y_i + f) \cdot e_i]^\ddagger,^j \\ &= [(FX + Y_j + f) \cdot (FX + y_{ij} + Z) \cdot e_i]^\ddagger,^j \\ &= [(FX + Y_j + f) \cdot e_j]^\ddagger,^j. \end{aligned}$$

Here the first equation uses the definition of \ddagger , the second one follows from compatibility, the third one is clear, and the last equation uses the definition of e_j . Analogously, one gets $[(FX + Y + f) \cdot \bar{e}]^\ddagger = [(F\bar{X} + Y_j + f) \cdot \bar{e}_j]^\ddagger,^j$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [(FX + Y + f) \cdot e]^\ddagger &= [(FX + Y_j + f) \cdot e_j]^\ddagger,^j \\ &= [(F\bar{X} + Y_j + f) \cdot \bar{e}_j]^\ddagger,^j \cdot g \\ &= [(FX + Y + f) \cdot \bar{e}]^\ddagger \cdot g, \end{aligned}$$

where the first and the third step have been established above, and the second one uses weak functoriality of $(-)^{\ddagger,^j}$.

Compositionality. Let $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y + A$ and $f: Z \rightarrow FZ + Y + A$ be two ffg-equations. Factorize $e = (FX + y_i + A) \cdot e_i$ and $f = (FZ + y_i + A) \cdot f_i$ with $i \in I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (f \blacksquare e)^\ddagger \cdot \text{inl} &= (f_i \blacksquare e_i)^\ddagger,^i \cdot \text{inl} \\ &= (f_i^{\ddagger,^i} \bullet e_i)^\ddagger,^i \\ &= (f^\ddagger \bullet e_i)^\ddagger,^i \\ &= (f^\ddagger \bullet e)^\ddagger \end{aligned}$$

Here the first equation uses the definition of \ddagger and the fact that $f \blacksquare e = (FX + y_i + A) \cdot (f_i \blacksquare e_i)$. The second equation is compositionality of $(-)^{\ddagger,^i}$, the third one uses that $f^\ddagger = f_0^{\ddagger,^i}$ by the definition of \ddagger , and the last equation uses the definition of \ddagger and the fact that $(f^\ddagger \bullet e) = (FX + y_i + A) \cdot (f^\ddagger \bullet e_i)$. \square

We are ready to prove Theorem A.4.

Proof (Theorem A.4). The constructions of Lemma A.5 and A.6 are mutually inverse; the proof is completely analogous to part (3a) and (3b) of the proof the Theorem 2.2. Moreover, the constructions of Lemma A.9 and A.7 are clearly mutually inverse. \square

Proof of Corollary 4.13

Using Theorem 4.12, we just need to verify for any two ffg-Elgot algebras $(A, [a, h], \dagger)$ and $(A', [a', h'], \dagger')$ that a morphism $p: A \rightarrow A'$ is solution-preserving for $F(-) + Y$ iff it its solution-preserving for F and satisfies $h' = p \cdot h$.

(\Rightarrow) If p is solution-preserving for $F(-) + Y$, then by Lemma 4.10 it is a homomorphism, i.e. $p \cdot [a, h] = [a', h'] \cdot Fp$. This implies $p \cdot h = h'$. Moreover, for every ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$ the equation $\bar{e} = (FX + \text{inl}) \cdot e$ satisfies $p \cdot \bar{e}^\dagger = (p \bullet \bar{e})^\dagger = \overline{p \bullet e}^\dagger$, see (A.5), that is, $p \cdot e^\dagger = (p \bullet e)^\dagger$.

(\Leftarrow) If p is solution-preserving for F and $h' = p \cdot h$, then for every ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + Y + A$ we know that $p \cdot e_h^\dagger = (p \bullet e_h)^\dagger$. It remains to verify that $p \bullet e_h = (p \bullet e)_{h'}$ to derive $p \cdot e^\dagger = (p \bullet e)^\dagger$. Indeed, consider the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + Y + A & \xrightarrow{FX+[h,A]} & FX + A & \xrightarrow{FX+p} & FX + A' \\
 & \searrow e & \parallel & & & & \nearrow FX+[h',A] \\
 & & FX + Y + A & \xrightarrow{FX+Y+p} & FX + Y + A' & &
 \end{array}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.16

We first establish the following auxiliary result, which is interesting on its own:

Proposition A.10. *The forgetful functor $U_F: \text{ffg-Elgot } F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ creates sifted colimits.*

Proof. Let $D: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{ffg-Elgot } F$ be a sifted diagram with objects $(A_d, a_d, (-)^{\dagger, d})$ for $d \in \mathcal{D}$. Let

$$i_d: A_d \rightarrow A \quad (d \in \mathcal{D})$$

be a colimit cocone of $U_F \cdot D$ in \mathcal{A} . Since F preserves sifted colimits, the forgetful functor from $\mathbf{Alg } F$ to \mathcal{A} creates sifted colimits, i.e. there exists a unique F -algebra structure $a: FA \rightarrow A$ making all i_d 's F -algebra homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA_d & \xrightarrow{a_d} & A_d \\
 Fi_d \downarrow & & \downarrow i_d \\
 FA & \xrightarrow{a} & A
 \end{array}$$

together with a morphism $h: Z \rightarrow A$, where X, X' and Z are ffg objects. Factorize h as in the triangle below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{h} & A \\ & \searrow h' & \uparrow i_d \\ & & A_d \end{array}$$

for some $d \in \mathcal{D}$. Then the desired equality

$$(h \bullet f)^\dagger \cdot m = (h \bullet e)^\dagger$$

is established as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (h \bullet f)^\dagger \cdot m &= ((i_d \cdot h') \bullet f)^\dagger \cdot m \\ &= (i_d \bullet (h' \bullet f))^\dagger \cdot m && \text{Remark 3.4(1)} \\ &= i_d \cdot (h' \bullet f)^{\dagger, d} \cdot m && \text{def. } \dagger \\ &= i_d \cdot (h' \bullet e)^{\dagger, d} && (-)^{\dagger, d} \text{ weakly funct.} \\ &= (i_d \bullet (h' \bullet e))^\dagger && \text{def. } \dagger \\ &= ((i_d \cdot h') \bullet e)^\dagger && \text{Remark 3.4(1)} \\ &= (h \bullet e)^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

Compositionality. Given ffg-equations

$$e: X \rightarrow FX + Y \quad \text{and} \quad f: Y \rightarrow FY + A,$$

factorize f as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{f} & FY + A \\ & \searrow f_0 & \uparrow FY + i_d \\ & & FY + A_d \end{array}$$

for some $d \in \mathcal{D}$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (f^\dagger \bullet e)^\dagger &= ((i_d \cdot f_0^{\dagger, d}) \bullet e)^\dagger && \text{def. } \dagger \\ &= (i_d \bullet (f_0^{\dagger, d} \bullet e))^\dagger && \text{Remark 3.4(1)} \\ &= i_d \cdot (f_0^{\dagger, d} \bullet e)^{\dagger, d} && \text{def. } \dagger \\ &= i_d \cdot (e \blacksquare f_0)^{\dagger, d} \cdot \text{inl} && (-)^{\dagger, d} \text{ compositional} \\ &= (i_d \bullet (e \blacksquare f_0))^\dagger \cdot \text{inl} && \text{def. } \dagger \\ &= (e \blacksquare (i_d \bullet f_0))^\dagger \cdot \text{inl} && \text{Remark 3.4(2)} \\ &= (e \blacksquare f)^\dagger \cdot \text{inl} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof that (A, a, \dagger) is an ffg-Elgot algebra.

(3) We prove that (A, a, \dagger) is a colimit of $(A_d, a_d, (-)^{\dagger, d})$ ($d \in \mathcal{D}$). Thus suppose that an ffg-Elgot algebra (B, b, \dagger) and a cocone of solution-preserving morphisms $m_d: A_d \rightarrow B$ ($d \in \mathcal{D}$) are given. We need to show that the unique morphism $m: A \rightarrow B$ with $m \cdot i_d = m_d$ for all d is solution-preserving. To this end, suppose that $e: X \rightarrow FX + A$ is an ffg-equation, factorized as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + A \\ & \searrow e_0 & \uparrow FX+i_d \\ & & FX + A_d \end{array}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (m \bullet e)^{\dagger} &= (m \bullet (i_d \bullet e_0))^{\dagger} \\ &= ((m \cdot i_d) \bullet e_0)^{\dagger} && \text{Remark 3.4(1)} \\ &= (m_d \bullet e_0)^{\dagger} && \text{since } m \cdot i_d = m_d \\ &= m_d \cdot e_0^{\dagger, d} && m_d \text{ solution-preserving} \\ &= m \cdot i_d \cdot e_0^{\dagger, d} && \text{since } m \cdot i_d = m_d \\ &= m \cdot e^{\dagger} && \text{def. } \dagger \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition A.10. \square

We are ready to prove that the forgetful functor $U_F: \mathbf{ffg}\text{-Elgot} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is monadic.

(1) U_F has a left adjoint. Indeed, for every ffg object Y we have a free ffg-Elgot algebra ΦY by Theorem 4.15, which defines the corresponding functor

$$\Phi: \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{ffg}} \rightarrow \mathbf{ffg}\text{-Elgot } F.$$

We can extend it to a left adjoint of U_F as follows. Given an object Y of \mathcal{C} , express Y as a sifted colimit $y_i: Y_i \rightarrow Y$ ($i \in I$) of ffg objects, see Section 2.1. The image of that sifted diagram under Φ has a colimit $\text{colim}_{i \in I} \Phi Y_i$ in the category $\mathbf{ffg}\text{-Elgot } F$ by Proposition A.10. It follows immediately that this colimit is a free ffg-Elgot algebra on Y .

(2) By Beck's Theorem it remains to prove that U_F creates coequalizers of U_F -split pairs of morphisms. Thus let $f, g: (A, a, \dagger) \rightarrow (B, b, \dagger)$ be two solution-preserving morphisms of ffg-Elgot algebras and suppose that morphisms $c: B \rightarrow C$, $s: C \rightarrow B$ and $t: B \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} are given with $c \cdot f = c \cdot g$, $c \cdot s = \text{id}_C$, $g \cdot t = \text{id}_B$ and $s \cdot c = f \cdot t$.

$$A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xleftarrow{t} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} B \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{c} \\ \xrightarrow{s} \end{array} C$$

Since F is a finitary functor, the forgetful functor from $\mathbf{Alg } F$ to \mathcal{C} is monadic, see [8]. Thus, by Beck's Theorem, there is a unique structure $\gamma: FC \rightarrow C$ such that c is an F -algebra homomorphism from (B, b) to (C, γ) ; moreover, c is a

coequalizer of f and g in $\mathbf{Alg} F$. We need to show that there is a unique solution operator $*$ for the algebra (C, γ) such that $(C, \gamma, *)$ is an ffg-Elgot algebra and c is solution-preserving, and that c is then a coequalizer of f and g in ffg-Elgot F .

Given an ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + C$, we define $*$ by

$$e^* = c \cdot (s \bullet e)^\ddagger: X \rightarrow C.$$

Then c is solution-preserving since

$$\begin{aligned} (c \bullet e)^* &= c \cdot (s \bullet (c \bullet e))^\ddagger && \text{def. } * \\ &= c \cdot ((s \cdot c) \bullet e)^\ddagger && \text{Remark 3.4(1)} \\ &= c \cdot ((f \cdot t) \bullet e)^\ddagger && s \cdot c = f \cdot t \\ &= c \cdot (f \bullet (t \bullet e))^\ddagger && \text{Remark 3.4(1)} \\ &= c \cdot f \cdot (t \bullet e)^\ddagger && f \text{ solution-preserving} \\ &= c \cdot g \cdot (t \bullet e)^\ddagger && c \cdot f = c \cdot g \\ &= c \cdot (g \bullet (t \bullet e))^\ddagger && g \text{ solution-preserving} \\ &= c \cdot ((g \cdot t) \bullet e)^\ddagger && \text{Remark 3.4(1)} \\ &= c \cdot e^\ddagger && g \cdot t = \text{id} \end{aligned}$$

We prove that $*$ satisfies the axioms of an ffg-Elgot algebra, and that it is the unique ffg-Elgot algebra structure on (C, γ) for which c is solution-preserving.

(a) e^* is a solution of e :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & e^* \\ & & & & \curvearrowright \\ X & \xrightarrow{(s \bullet e)^\ddagger} & B & \xrightarrow{c} & C \\ & \searrow^{s \bullet e} & \uparrow [b, B] & & \uparrow [\gamma, C] \\ e \downarrow & & FX + B & \xrightarrow{F(s \bullet e)^\ddagger + B} & FB + B \\ & \nearrow^{FX + s} & & \searrow^{Fc + c} & \\ FX + C & \xrightarrow{Fe^* + C} & & & FC + C \end{array}$$

All inner parts of this diagram commute; for left-hand component of the right-hand part, use that c is solution-preserving and thus a homomorphism of F -algebras by Lemma 4.10.

(b) Weak Functoriality. Suppose that we have a coalgebra homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e} & FX + Z \\ m \downarrow & & \downarrow Fm + Z \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & FY + Z \end{array}$$

and a morphism $h: Z \rightarrow C$ with X, Y and Z ffg. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(h \bullet e)^* &= c \cdot (s \bullet (h \bullet e))^{\ddagger} && \text{def. } * \\
&= c \cdot ((s \cdot h) \bullet e)^{\ddagger} && \text{Remark 3.4(1)} \\
&= c \cdot ((s \cdot h) \bullet f)^{\ddagger} \cdot m && \ddagger \text{ weakly functorial} \\
&= c \cdot (s \bullet (h \bullet f))^{\ddagger} \cdot m && \text{Remark 3.4(1)} \\
&= (h \bullet f)^* \cdot m && \text{def. } *
\end{aligned}$$

(c) Compositionality. Given ffg-equations

$$e: X \rightarrow FX + Y \quad \text{and} \quad f: Y \rightarrow FY + C$$

we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(f^* \bullet e)^* &= ((c \cdot (s \bullet f))^{\ddagger} \bullet e)^* && \text{def. } * \\
&= (c \bullet ((s \bullet f)^{\ddagger} \bullet e))^* && \text{Remark 3.4(1)} \\
&= c \cdot ((s \bullet f)^{\ddagger} \bullet e)^{\ddagger} && c \text{ solution-preserving} \\
&= c \cdot (e \blacksquare (s \bullet f))^{\ddagger} \cdot \text{inl} && \ddagger \text{ compositional} \\
&= c \cdot (s \bullet (e \blacksquare f))^{\ddagger} \cdot \text{inl} && \text{Remark 3.4(2)} \\
&= (e \blacksquare f)^* \cdot \text{inl} && \text{def. } *
\end{aligned}$$

(d) We show the uniqueness of $*$. Suppose that $+$ is another solution operation for (C, γ) such that c is solution-preserving. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
e^* &= c \cdot (s \bullet e)^{\ddagger} && \text{def. } * \\
&= (c \bullet (s \bullet e))^+ && c \text{ solution-preserving} \\
&= ((c \cdot s) \bullet e)^+ && \text{Remark 3.4(1)} \\
&= e^+ && c \cdot s = \text{id}
\end{aligned}$$

(e) We show that c is a coequalizer of f and g . Thus let $m: (B, b, \ddagger) \rightarrow (D, d, +)$

be a solution-preserving morphism with $m \cdot f = m \cdot g$. Since $\mathbf{Alg} F$ is monadic over \mathcal{C} , the morphism c is a coequalizer of f and g in $\mathbf{Alg} F$, so there exists unique F -algebra homomorphism $h: C \rightarrow D$ with $h \cdot c = m$. We only need to show that it is solution-preserving, which follows by the computation below for any ffg-equation $e: X \rightarrow FX + C$:

$$\begin{aligned}
h \cdot e^* &= h \cdot c \cdot (s \bullet e)^{\ddagger} && \text{def. } * \\
&= m \cdot (s \bullet e)^{\ddagger} && h \cdot c = m \\
&= (m \bullet (s \bullet e))^+ && m \text{ solution-preserving} \\
&= ((m \cdot s) \bullet e)^+ && \text{Remark 3.4(1)} \\
&= ((h \cdot c \cdot s) \bullet e)^+ && h \cdot c = m \\
&= (h \cdot e)^+ && c \cdot s = \text{id}
\end{aligned}$$