

Exercise Sheet 5

Solutions due: 21.07.2025

Exercise 1 PDL axioms

8 points

(a) Show that the axioms of regular PDL (without ‘?’) are sound over regular PDL frames.

(b) Let $\mathcal{I} = \{a, a^*\}$. Show that the axioms

$$[a^*]p \leftrightarrow (p \wedge [a][a^*]p) \quad (1)$$

$$[a^*](p \rightarrow [a]p) \rightarrow p \rightarrow [a^*]p \quad (2)$$

define the class of frames where $R_{a^*} = (R_a)^*$.

Exercise 2 Fischer-Ladner Closure

7 points

Show that the Fischer-Ladner closure $\text{FL}(\phi)$ of a formula ϕ is finite and of linear size in ϕ . Proceed according to the following outline:

We can rewrite the definition of $\text{FL}(\phi)$ as being the least set Γ of formulae containing ϕ and closed under the rules

1. if $\neg\psi \in \Gamma$, then $\psi \in \Gamma$;
2. if $\chi \wedge \psi \in \Gamma$, then $\chi, \psi \in \Gamma$;
3. if $[a]\psi \in \Gamma$, then $\psi \in \Gamma$
4. if $[\alpha \cup \beta]\psi \in \Gamma$, then $[\alpha]\psi, [\beta]\psi, \psi \in \Gamma$
5. if $[\alpha; \beta]\psi \in \Gamma$, then $[\alpha][\beta]\psi, [\beta]\psi \in \Gamma$;
6. if $[\alpha^*]\psi \in \Gamma$, then $\psi, [\alpha][\alpha^*]\psi \in \Gamma$.

(a) Prove this, by showing that the respective sets of closure conditions are equivalent.

Next, we define a variant $\text{FL}'(\phi)$ of the FL closure where some formulae ψ are replaced with fresh propositional letters q_ψ : $\text{FL}'(\phi)$ is the least set Γ of formulae containing ϕ and closed under the rules

1. if $\neg\psi \in \Gamma$, then $\psi \in \Gamma$;
2. if $\chi \wedge \psi \in \Gamma$, then $\chi, \psi \in \Gamma$;
3. if $[a]\psi \in \Gamma$, then $\psi \in \Gamma$
4. if $[\alpha \cup \beta]\psi \in \Gamma$, then $[\alpha]q_\psi, [\beta]q_\psi, \psi \in \Gamma$
5. if $[\alpha; \beta]\psi \in \Gamma$, then $[\alpha]q_{[\beta]\psi}, [\beta]\psi \in \Gamma$;

6. if $[\alpha^*]\psi \in \Gamma$, then $\psi, [\alpha]q_{[\alpha^*]\psi} \in \Gamma$.

We have an obvious function e on formulae that fully expands these abbreviations (e.g. $e([a]q_{[b]q_{[c]p}}) = [a][b][c]p$).

- (b) Give an explicit recursive definition of e (arguing why it terminates).
- (c) Show by induction on the generation of $\text{FL}'(\phi)$ that whenever q_ψ occurs in a formula in $\text{FL}'(\phi)$, then $\psi \in \text{FL}'(\phi)$.
- (d) Show by induction on the generation of $\text{FL}'(\phi)$ that $e(\psi) \in \text{FL}(\phi)$ for every $\psi \in \text{FL}'(\phi)$.
- (e) Show by induction on the generation of $\text{FL}(\phi)$ that for every $\psi \in \text{FL}(\phi)$, there is some $\psi' \in \text{FL}'(\phi)$ such that $e(\psi') = \psi$ (in other words, e defines a surjective map $\text{FL}'(\phi) \rightarrow \text{FL}(\phi)$). This part requires some care; in particular, you will need to use (c).

Now we define a size measure δ on formulae that discounts the fresh letters; specifically, $\delta(\psi)$ is the number of occurrences of propositional connectives \perp, \neg, \wedge , program constructs $;, \cup, *$, atomic programs, and propositional letters other than the q_χ in ψ . The rules effectively all have the form ‘if $\psi \in \Gamma$, then $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in \Gamma$ ’.

- (f) Show that in all rules,

$$\delta(\{\psi\}) = 1 + \delta(\chi_1) + \dots + \delta(\chi_n).$$

Note (no proof necessary) that each formula ψ is matched by exactly one rule of the above form, and then

$$\text{FL}'(\psi) = \{\psi\} \cup \text{FL}'(\chi_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{FL}'(\chi_n).$$

- (g) Using the above, show by induction on $\delta(\psi)$ that

$$|\text{FL}'(\psi)| \leq \delta(\psi)$$

for all ψ , and conclude the claim from this fact.