

# Assignment 5

Deadline for solutions: 25.07.2022

---

## Exercise 1 GCD and Termination

(8 Points)

*Greatest common divisor*  $gcd(a, b)$  of two natural positive (!) numbers is inductively defined as  $gcd(a - b, b)$  if  $a > b$ , as  $gcd(a, b - a)$  if  $b > a$  and as  $a$  if  $a = b$ .

a) Implement  $gcd$  in Agda using the modules of Iowa Agda library. To that end you will need to design a corresponding termination proof.

**Hint:** A concise and elegant solution can be obtained by using the *lexicographic order* and a corresponding termination proof  $\downarrow$ -lex from `termination.agda`. Note, however, that it is only one possible approach.

It is tactically advantageous to define  $gcd(n, m)$  for all natural  $n$  and  $m$ . If  $n$  and  $m$  are not both 0 the result is well defined, otherwise it is sensible to put  $gcd(0, 0) = 0$ .

b) Formalize and prove that any divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  is a divisor of  $gcd(a, b)$ .

**Hint:** It is convenient to couch the developments in terms of the relation

```
_divides_ : ∀ (n m : ℕ) → Set
```

expressing the fact that  $n$  divides  $m$ , and to prove the lemma

```
divides÷ : ∀ (n m k : ℕ) → k divides n → k divides m → k divides (m ÷ n)
```

## Exercise 2 Binary Logarithms, Termination and Proof (Ir-)Relevance

(9 Points)

a) Implement the following functions that calculate *binary* logarithms of natural numbers and round the results down and up respectively:

```
[log₂_] : ℕ → ℕ
```

```
[log₂_] : ℕ → ℕ
```

So, e.g.  $\lfloor \log_2 2 \rfloor = \lceil \log_2 2 \rceil = 1$  and  $\lfloor \log_2 3 \rfloor = 1$ ,  $\lceil \log_2 3 \rceil = 2$ . You can assume that  $\lfloor \log_2 0 \rfloor = \lceil \log_2 0 \rceil = 0$ .

**Hint:** You should implement a helper `[log₂_]wf : (n : ℕ) → ↓ℕ _>_ n → ℕ` from which `[log₂_]` would be definable by feeding  $\downarrow \rightarrow n$  as the second argument. Some preparation would be needed, in particular, you will need a function `div2 : (n : ℕ) → ℕ` for integer division by 2 and rudimental properties of it.

**Attention:** The remaining overhead will critically depend on the definition of `[log₂_]wf`. It is thus strongly suggested to implement the following clause

```
[log₂ (suc (suc n)) ]-wf (pf↓ sn) = suc ([log₂ suc (div2 n) ]-wf (sn (div2n<sn n)))
```

where `div2<sn n` must be a proof that `div2 n < suc n`.

b) Prove the following monotonicity property:

```
[log2]-mono : (n m : ℕ) → (n ≤ m ≡ tt) → [log2 n ] ≤ [log2 m ] ≡ tt
```

(to that end you will need to formulate and prove the corresponding property of the underlying helper).

c) Implement the following characteristic properties:

```
[log2]-ind1 : (n : ℕ) → is-even (suc n) ≡ tt
              → [log2 (suc n) ] ≡ suc ([log2 (div2 (suc n)) ] )
[log2]-ind2 : (n : ℕ) → is-even (suc n) ≡ ff
              → [log2 (suc n) ] ≡ [log2 n ]
```

and use them to prove the following:

```
[log2]-n+n : ∀ (n : ℕ) → n > 0 ≡ tt → [log2 (n + n) ] ≡ suc [log2 n ]
[log2]-n+n+1 : ∀ (n : ℕ) → n > 0 ≡ tt → [log2 (suc (n + n)) ] ≡ suc [log2 n ]
```

**Hint:** It is advisable to prove the following property of `[log2_-]-wf` first:

```
[log2]-wf-irrel : (n m : ℕ) → {p : ↓B _>_ n} → {q : ↓B _>_ m} → n ≡ m
              → [log2 n ]-wf p ≡ [log2 m ]-wf q
```

in order to be able to enforce *proof irrelevance*. Indeed, the result of `[log2 n ]-wf p` does not depend on the particular choice of the proof object `p`, however, in proof-relevant environments, this is generally not a property, available by default. You can use the above part **b)** where the analogous inequational property must have been proven.

### Exercise 3 Logarithms and Tree Heights

(7 Points)

The following function

```
bt-height : ∀ {n : ℕ} → braun-tree n → ℕ
bt-height bt-empty = 0
bt-height (bt-node _ l r _) = suc (max (bt-height l) (bt-height r))
```

calculates the height of a brown tree. Using the properties of binary logarithms from the previous exercise, prove the following properties in Agda:

```
bt-height-gt : ∀ {n : ℕ} → (n > 0 ≡ tt)
              → (t : braun-tree n) → suc [log2 n ] ≤ bt-height t ≡ tt
bt-height-lt : ∀ {n : ℕ}
              → (t : braun-tree n) → bt-height t ≤ suc [log2 n ] ≡ tt
```

### Exercise 4 Kripke Semantics

(6 Points)

a) Design a proof of the intuitionistic tautology  $\phi = p \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q)$  and verify the proof in Agda by showing that the type `[] ⊢ ϕ` is inhabited. Normalize your proof by calling the `nbe` function. Does it result in a different proof?

**b)** (*Peirce's law*) The formula  $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$  is famously known for being a classical tautology, which does not hold intuitionistically. Construct a Kripke model, falsifying it and implement in Agda. That is, you will need to deliver a proof for

```
no-peirce's-law : (k , w0 ⊨  
  Implies (Implies (Implies ($ "p") ($ "q")) ($ "p")) ($ "p")) → ⊥
```

for suitable  $k$  and  $w_0$ .